Panel Member: D. Brackett	
Date: 12/14/05	
Viewpoint #3	
Viewpoint Description:	
Rural landecape with some agriculture. Horizon is Flat. Foregound	2
cultivated mid & back ground un cultivated (natural wood lats)	
Line is horizontal; large scale; colo-ground is green to brown ish and	1
Sky is light blue; texture is smooth to medium texture; form	
is wide open.	

#### Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation	4	line, scale, color texture & form
Land Use	3	linesscale are not compatible  Color and texture & form  Scale & form one not compatible
Land Form	2	line, colo éterture are compatible
Viewer Activity	E	line, colon Étexture are compostible line : colon, éexture à form are compatible scale is mot
Water	NA	
Total - 4	11.0	
Average Score	2.75	GEE 'Z' COMPRIZISON FOR
		NEW PATING TATAL

# **Overall Aesthetic Impact:**

Any development other than accircultural would be an impact in this view. The greatest impact is the scale of the machines.

Color also chaus attention to the machines but is not angetive impact become in the foreground as well as sky.

Panel Member:_	D. BRACKETT	
Date: <u>3/7/<i>0</i>7</u>		
Viewpoint # <u>3</u>	COMPARISON	

#### **Viewpoint Description:**

RUPAL LANDSCAPE, SOME AG. SEEMS LIKE A LOW AREA IN MID-GROUND BUT HORIZON IS AMAZINGLY FLAT, BACKGROUND SEEMS UNDEVELOPED WOODLAND. LINE IS HORIZONTAL, LARGE SCALE, COLOR GREEN & BROWN, TEXTURE IS SMOOTH TO MEDIUM, FORM IS WIDE-OPEN.

#### Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation	5	NOT COMPATIBLE
Land Use	3	LINE, SCALE & TEXTURE ARE NOT COMPATIBLE : COLUR & FORM ARE
Land Form	3	LINE, SCALE & TEXTURE ARE NOT COMPATIBLE; COLOR & FORM ARE LINE, SCALE & TEXTURE NOT COMP. COLOR & FORM ARE
Viewer Activity	3	LINE, SCALE & TEXTURE NOT COMP. COLOR & FORM ARE
Water	NA	
Total	14	
Average Score	3.5	

Overall Aesthetic Impact:

THE SCALE & TEXTURE OF THIS MANY MACHINES HAS A SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON THIS NATURAL/AGRICULTURAL LANDSCAPE.

LAZ

#### **Marble River Visual Assessment**

Panel Member: Atu FRIZ
Date: 12/14/05
Viewpoint #
Viewpoint Description:
View focus is along road towards two dolmet lines in the larchage:
i) The horizontal lie at the base of the cross road are 2) the horizon like offin
In distance. The scale of the view is large and wide open. The foregood
were constent gon / hat sees, middle and is interpreted with
wouldn't vegetation that comprete in texture in comparison to the foregoing.

#### Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation	4	Shons contest in ht, I scale and odor.
Land Use	3	ewod/agricultural ore remains dominant
Land Form	3	Rollts anothern and slight undulations remain predominant
Viewer Activity	4	Viewer attention altered by terbine presence because of expense and # of tembres in view
Water	N/A	
Total +4	14.0	
Average Score	3.50	

Overall Aesthetic Impact:

The wide expense of themes can the landscape a contains the viewer actually and adjacent vesetation. The color contrast has less import because most issue because the thebrus old in with the sky. Texture contrast issue because the thebrus old in with the middleyround textures. of the tubines in view slightly bland in with the offer.

Panel Member: KILARD F. KILEY, KLA
Date: Decinge 14, 2005
MARCH 5, 2007
Viewpoint #3
Viewpoint Description:
SCENIC VISTA OF BOLLING WOODED HILLSIDG AGRICULTURAL
AGEA. SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCES AND BARN STRUCTURE
IN THE POREGROUND. TREED UNDULATING TERRAINS VISIBLE
IN THE BACK GROW NO TO THE HORIZON.

# **Visual Impact**

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments	]
Vegetation	3	WHILE THE SCALE AND TEXTURE OF TUR. FOR ECROSIO CONTRAST, THE DISTANT TUR.	医二乙烷基 巴尔尼人名
Land Use	4	TURBINE FORM IS STRIKINGLY DIFFERENT FROM AGKILLTURAL FIELDS & WOODLAND	-0
Land Form	3	TURBING CONCENTENTION APPEARS AT INTERMEDIATE LINEAR BAND BETWEEN	LAND & SEY
Viewer Activity	2	TURBINE MASSING ADDS MUTHER ELE INTEREST WITH IT'S CONTRASTING FORM	Ment of
Water	NA		
Total -4	12.0		
Average Score	3,0		

# **Overall Aesthetic Impact:**

TURBING	CONCEN	T/2/77/0	M AND	DISTRIB	してはい	COL	IPLIT-1E	س س
THE VISTA .	DEBINE	COLOR	IS COMP	PATIELE	WITH	SKY	COLOR	WHILE
CONTRASTI								

Marble River Visual Assessment			
Panel Member: <u>D. Bra</u> Date: <u>/2/14/05</u>	ckett	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Viewpoint #	-,,-		
Background is mou	intaino	nicultural, remainder is rural, worded. wo. The line of the horizon is relling; een, brown dark gray & white & blue in sty	
texture is smooth			
(strong contrast). Under comr	nents, expla	onditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 ain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, de your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic	
Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments	
Vegetation	3	Tine, Calor, are compatible Scale, texture & form are not	
Land Use	1	line, colon, texture & form are compatible	
Land Form	2	line scale are not	
Viewer Activity	1	line, colo, texture & firm an compatible  Scale as not	
Water	NA.		
Total -4	7.0		
Average Score	Z G	SEE 8 COMPRESCON FOR	
Overall Aesthetic Impact:	^	NEW RATION TOTAL	
Scale is the similio	ant foc	for in this view. However, overall	
I do not consider +	his view	to in this view. However, overall installation	
of the machines			

Patiet Welliber. DIBRACELI		
Date: 3/7/07		
Viewpoint# & COMPARISON		
Viewpoint Description:  OPEN LANDSCAPE: AB IN FORESEDUND WOODS IN	MID-GROUND HILLS/MTHS	١n
BACK-GRUUND. COLORS ARE BROWNS & GREEN. LINE IS GENTLY ROLLING EXCEPT IN THE BACK-GR		

COLORS AS STATED ABOVE, TEXTURE IS SMOOTH TO MEDIUM, FORM IS WIDE-OPEN.

#### Visual Impact

Panal Mambar: D RONC VETT

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation	4	LINE, TEXTURE, SCALE & COLOR ARE NOT COMPATIBLE; FORM IS
Land Use	1	CALE NOT COMP. LINE, TEXTURE, COLOR & FORM ARE
Land Form	2	LINE, SCALE & COLOR ARE NOT COMP. TEXTURE & FORM ARE
Viewer Activity	1	SCALE NOT COMP. LINE TEXTURE, COLOR & FORM ARE
Water	NA	
Total	8	
Average Score	(2.0)	

# **Overall Aesthetic Impact:**

SCALE IS MOST SIGNIFICANT IMPACT.

Panel Member: D. BRACKETT			
Date: 3/13/07			
Viewpoint# 8 CUMMULATIVE			
Viewpoint Description:			
CAME AS CALALLINA			

#### Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation	4	
Land Use	1	
Land Form	2	
Viewer Activity	1	
Water		
Total	8	
Average Score	2	

# **Overall Aesthetic Impact:**

THERE IS NO ADDITIONAL IMPACT

Panel Member: PAW FRIR
Date: 12/4/05
Viewpoint #
Viewpoint Description:
Common agricultural Indecape with cropfield of form structures
w/ih view. Foreground and middleground textures are course and colors are
dark hier. Backgroul texture is smooth and shocker of group is present.
The winter follows the horizontal Inis on the foreground steened by The
found. The cropfield pattern is also coppies the viewer's cuttention. The land form is the booksproud to large in scale, and contracts the foreground/middleyour bufferm. (foothills)
faction is the bookground to large in scale, and contracts the foreground
Visual Impact
Bate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation	4	The ht. of The turbine contract all secondary vers. stroking on trubines minimites ador contract
Land Use	3	The rural /ag. use is not distribed, but The two bill add completely
Land Form	3	varying Ht. of the turbines is a result of undulating landform
Viewer Activity	3	trubus after the seems forward the shockers
Water	MIA	
Total +4	13,0	
Average Score	3, 25	

#### **Overall Aesthetic Impact:**

The view parrows in this condition due to the frame oreated by the two forward turbates. The turbates within the frame alwithin the middleground appear consensed and almost touching expecting more curiosty to the viewer's sense of complexity that is not feely when looking at other turbates with creater space perfuses them. In propert of the two forward silenvironmental Standards Sidedard Forms Wisual Impact Forms Warble River Visual Assessment Form. doc to the two forward turbates with the reduced if the turbates wore located Defined to he server.

Panel Member: PAW HENE	
Date: 3/14/07	
Viewpoint# 8 CumulativE	
Viewpoint Description:	,
Foregrowd is active agricultural landscape hadrens and anoddal. Bockspend is hilly first present in the foregrown. Middlegad at books	Middles rout orppears to be xm. Course textues are

#### Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation	4	Turbine hight in No forespool contracts all
Land Use	3	Surrounder, Vegetation Turbines add complexity to the view
Land Form	3	Varying height of tubous requests button and prohimized Inpoot
Viewer Activity	3	The scale contrast of the foreground halfulls creates must significant change or import
Water	10/A	•
Total	13	
Average Score	3,25	

#### **Overall Aesthetic Impact:**

The two foregrand tubries promote the most contrast to landform Ord verstation. The remaining trubres and this case at contrast is minimal become versetime at landform in the middle grand partially dobuts the views of the middlegrand tubries. The our appropriate of tubres is Steproching.

Panel Member: RICHARD F. RILEY, RLA  Date: December 14, 2005  MARCH 5, 2007  Viewpoint # 8				
•				
Viewpoint Description:				
CHASE UP VIEW OF	AGRICULTURAL FIELD. RECENTLY HARVESTED			
CORN FIELD WITH Z	TURRINGS VISIBLE IN THE FURGEROUND,			
SEVERAL TURBINE	S EMERGE FROM THE WOODED MIDDLE GIZUNDO			
AND ROLLING HILL	S ARE VISIBLE IN THE DISTANT BACKGROUND.			
Visual Impact				
Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.  PRESENCE OF TURBINES IN THIS CONCENTRATION AWAY FROM THE AGRICULTURAL LANDSCAPE				
Landscape Component	Contrast / Comments			
Vegetation	TURBINES IN CLOSE PROXIMITY APPEAR LESS COMPATIBLE  HITH FORM & SCALE OF VEGETATION WHILE MORE DISTANT  TURBINES APPEAR MORE IN SCALE.			
Land Use	PRESENCE OF TURBINES IN THIS CONCENTRATIONS			
Land Form	・ 女 丁二 ボンりょく カンマエ ベルテク ロヘノ・マット さんたっき だいし ケィ・マ・マ・クレ モノ・カノ・ラー			
	THE RIME DISTRIBUTION HAS LITTLE IMPHET			
	TUE BING DISTRIBUTION HAS LITTLE IMPACT			
Viewer Activity	THE LINE AND SCALE OF THE LANDSCAPE.  THE LINE AND SCALE OF THE LANDSCAPE.			
Viewer Activity	THE BIME DISTRIBUTION HAS LITTLE IMPLIED SCAPE.  Z 3 ON THE FORM AND TEXTURE OF THE LANDSCAPE.  THE LINE AND SCALE OF TURBINES IN CLOSE PROXIMITY  Z 3 AND INTEREST. MORE DISTRICT TWENTES AND FORESPECTION.			
	THE LINE AND SCALE OF THE LANDSCAPE.  THE LINE AND SCALE OF TURBINES IN COSE PROXIMITY  ADD INTEREST. MORE DISTANT TWEBINES IN FEMSORESTICA  TURBINEDISTRIBUTION HAS A DOMINATING IMPACT			
Viewer Activity	THE BIME DISTRIBUTION HAS LITTLE IMPACT  AND THE FORM AND TEXTURE OF THE LANDSCAPE.  THE LINE AND SCALE OF TURBINES IN CLOSE PROXIMITY  ADD INTEREST. MORE DISTANT TWEBINES OF PERSONNITY  TURBINEDISTRIBUTION HAS A DOMINATING IMPACT  ON THE FORM AND TEXTURE OF THE LANDSCAPE  THE LINE AND SCALE OF TURBINES INCOSE PROXIMITY			
Viewer Activity  Water  Total  Average Score	THE BIME DISTRIBUTION HAS LITTLE IMPACT  ON THE FORM AND TEXTURE OF THE LANDSCAPE.  THE LINE AND SCALE OF TURBINES IN CLOSE PROXIMITY  ADD INTEREST. MORE DISTANT TWEBINES IND FEMSPECTION  TURBINEDISTRIBUTION HAS A DOMINATING IMPACT  ON THE FORM AND TEXTURE OF THE LANDSCAPE  THE LINE AND SCALE OF TURBINES INCLOSE PIZOXIMITY  COMMAND ATTENTION.			
Viewer Activity  Water  Total	THE BIME DISTRIBUTION HAS LITTLE IMPACT  ON THE FORM AND TEXTURE OF THE LANDSCAPE.  THE LINE AND SCALE OF TURBINES IN CLOSE PROXIMITY  ADD INTEREST. MORE DISTANT TWEBINES IND FEMSPECTION  TURBINEDISTRIBUTION HAS A DOMINATING IMPACT  ON THE FORM AND TEXTURE OF THE LANDSCAPE  THE LINE AND SCALE OF TURBINES INCLOSE PROXIMITY  COMMAND ATTENTION.  NEW AV. (3.5)			
Viewer Activity  Water  Total	THE BIME DISTRIBUTION HAS LITTLE IMPACT  ON THE FORM AND TEXTURE OF THE LANDSCAPE.  THE LINE AND SCALE OF TURBINES IN CLOSE PROXIMITY  ADD INTEREST. MORE DISTANT TWEBINES IND FEMSPECTION  TURBINEDISTRIBUTION HAS A DOMINATING IMPACT  ON THE FORM AND TEXTURE OF THE LANDSCAPE  THE LINE AND SCALE OF TURBINES INCLOSE PIZOXIMITY  COMMAND ATTENTION.			
Viewer Activity  Water  Total  Average Score  Overall Aesthetic Impact:	THE BIME DISTRIBUTION HAS LITTLE IMPACT  Z 3 ON THE FORM AND TEXTURE OF THE LANDSCAPE.  THE LINE AND SCALE OF TURBINES IN CLOSE PROXIMITY  ADD INTEREST. MORE DISTANT TWEBINES IND FERSOFECTION.  TURBINEDISTRIBUTION HAS A DOMINATING IMPACT  ON THE FORM AND TEXTURE OF THE LANDSCAPE  THE LINE AND SCALE OF TURBINES INCOSE PROXIMITY  COMMAND ATTENTION.  THE CONCENTRATION OF TURBINES IN CLOSE PROXIMITY			
Viewer Activity  Water  Total  Average Score  Overall Aesthetic Impact:  Oktaines In The Fole	THE BIME DISTRIBUTION HAS LITTLE IMPACT  ON THE FORM AND TEXTURE OF THE LANDSCAPE.  THE LINE AND SCALE OF TURBINES IN CLOSE PROXIMITY  ADD INTEREST. MORE DISTANT TWEBINES IND FEMORECTION  NA TURBINEDISTRIBUTION HAS A DOMINATING IMPACT  ON THE FORM AND TEXTURE OF THE LANDSCAPE  THE LINE AND SCALE OF TURBINES INCLOSE PROXIMITY  COMMAND ATTENTION.  THE CONCENTIZATION OF TURBINES IN CLOSE PIZOXIMITY  DOMINATE THE LANDSCAPE.			

Panel Member: RICHARD F. RILEY, RLA
Date: MARCH 5, 2007

Viewpoint # 8 (CUMULATIVE SIMULATION)

#### Viewpoint Description:

CLOSE UP VIEW OF AGRICULTURAL FIELD. RECENTLY HARVESTED CORN FIELD WITH 2 TURBINES VISIBLE IN THE FOREGROUND. NUMEROUS TURBINES EMERGE FROM THE WOODED MIDDLE GROUND AND ROLLING HILLS ARE VISIBLE IN THE DISTANT BACKGROUND.

#### Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation		HIGH CONCENTEATION AND FORM OF TURBINES
	4	OVERPOWER THE VEGETATION
Land Use		AGRICULTURE APPEARS SECONDARY TO THE
<u> </u>	_ 4	DENSITY, SCALE AND FORM OF TURBINES
Land Form	1 4	HIGH CONCENTRATION OF CLEARLY VISIBLE TURBINES
	5	DOMINATES THE LANDSCAPE
Viewer Activity	1 11	TURBINE, FORM, TEXTURE AND SCALE DEMAND
	7	VIEWER'S ATTENTION.
Water	1	
-	NA	
Total	17	
Average Score	1 - 1-	
	1 425	

# Overall Aesthetic Impact:

THE TURBIPE DENGITY AND SCALE DUE TO CLOSE PROXIMITY
DOMINATE THE LANDSCAPE AND DEMAND ATTENTION AWAY FROM
EVERY NATURAL ELENENT.

# Panel Member: D. Brockett Date: 12/14/05 Viewpoint # 15 Viewpoint Description: Kural hamlet. Concentration of buildings with pursualing applicational are & matical (undeveloped) land. The horizon is brown in brown in the sky; tayture is medium; & form semi anclosed to over:

Marble River Visual Assessment

#### Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation		line, scale, color, texture & form
Land Use	1	Some
Land Form	1	line, scale, color, texture & form
Viewer Activity	1	Same
Water		
Total - 4	4.0	
Average Score	1.0	

Overali Aesthetic Impact:
There is very little impact in this view. The machines are well
of in the distance of the forecomend has enough happening to
off in the distance of the forecamend has enough happening to division in any impact of the machines.
J / O

Panel Mem	nber: D.BRACKETT	_
Date:	3/7/07	_
Viewpoint	# 15 COMPARISON	
Viewpoint	Description:	
	OPIGUIN ASSESSMENT	

#### Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation	1	
Land Use	1	
Land Form	1	
Viewer Activity	/	
Water	NΑ	
Total		
Average Score		

**Overall Aesthetic Impact:** 

THE DISTANCE TO THE MACHINES MAKES THEIR IMPACT INSIGNIFICANT.
ALSO THE VERTICAL ELEMENTS IN THE FOREGROUND RELATE TO THE
VERTICAL CHARACTER OF MACHINES.

Pericued new layout 3-14-2007,

#### Marble River Visual Assessment

Panel Member: PAUL FRITZ
Date: 12/4/05
Viewpoint #
Viewpoint Description:
Sence of arrival into a raval hunter type of levelopment.
View concertration is on forground fields and middleground structures. Bockground
is somethant discoved by hill but form. Readside forcing at whility podes
have a serve of patter of regitition. The structures are irregular in location,
Size, form and color. View has a range of variety.
()

#### Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation	4	turbine contract in his. vegetation his, and coor.
Land Use	3	Surrouding hantet development
Land Form	.2	surrouding hamlet development middlessone lawform remains dominant al sevens
Viewer Activity	2	suchopunt at threstouth remnited
Water	MA	
Total + +	11.0	
Average Score	2.75	

# **Overall Aesthetic Impact:**

The regitation contrast is less contracting Than other views because the antire trade of the trubine is not visible. The view is complex-the objects in the brokering in the trubine because truly occupy the views antertion.

Panel Member: RICHARD F. RILEY RLA
Date: DECEMBER 14, 2005 MARZCH 5, 2007
Viewpoint #
Viewpoint Description:
VIEW ALONG RURAL HIGHWAY TOWARD SMALL RURAL
COMMUNITY. GRAZING LAND AND SCRUB GROWTH IN THE
POREC-ROUND WITH A TYPICAL MIX OF SMALL RESIDENCES, CHURCIL,
SCHOOL BEYOND THE SCRUB TREE GROWTH. TURBINES ARE VISIBLE ALONG
THE HORIZON.

#### Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation		TURRINE COLOR REPLICATES SKY COLOR AUD DOES
	<u> </u>	POT COMPETE WITH VEGETATION AT THE SCALE.
Land Use		TURBINE SCALE AND FORM AT THIS DISTANCES
	1 2	HAVE ALMOST NO IMPACT
Land Form		TURBINES AGAINST THE SEY AT THIS DISTANCE
		ARE ALMOST INVISIBLE
Viewer Activity	1.	TURBINES CONTRAST OPLY THE CHURCH STEEPLE WHICH
		RIGHS ABOUT THE TREE LINE . TURBING SCALE ADDS INTREST.
Water		
	NA	
Total 4	5.0	
Average Score	/25	

#### **Overall Aesthetic Impact:**

THE UNIFORM DISTRIBUTION OF TURBINES AT THIS CONSIDERABLE DISTRICE

ADD AN ELEMENT OF INTEREST, BUT ONLY IF YOU FOCUS ON THEM DUE TO

THEIR COMPATIBILITY WITH SKY.





b b							
Panel Member: D Beackert							
Date: <u>15/1/07</u>							
Viewpoint #_ <i>26</i>							
Viewpoint Description:							
RESIDENTIAL AREA: H	weing Wi	TH MOWED LAWNS & UNDEVELOPED AREA					
AT WHAT LOOKS LIKE	LOTL	INE. AREA BEYOUD LOTLINE IS WOODED,					
LINE IS VARIED; SCALE	15 FINE;	COLOR-WHITE HOUSES WITH GREEN TO YELLOW WITH					
BLUE SUY; TEXTURE.	MECHUM;	FORM - DEVELOPED - FOREGROUND / UNIDEVELOPED					
EACK GROUND	-						
Visual Impact							
<u>-</u>	n existing co	onditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5					
(strong contrast). Under comr	nents, expla	in the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line,					
scale, color, texture and form. impact from this viewpoint.	Then provi	de your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic					
paot nom uno nomponin							
	0	10					
Landscape Component Vegetation	Contrast	Comments COMPATIBLE - LINE, TEXTURE, SCALE					
Vegetation	2						
Land Use	1	CONTRAST - COLDRE FORM  COMPATIBLE - LINE, TEXTURE, SCALE					
_ <u></u>	1,5	COLOR CONTRAST - FORM					
Land Form	2	COMPATIBLE - SCALE, TEXTURE, FURM					
Viewer Activity		CONTRAST - LINE, COLOR					
		COMPATIBLE - CIME, SCALE, CILLIR TEXTURE PORN					
Water	MA						
Total	6.50						
Average Score /4	1.63						
	·						
Overall Aesthetic Impact:		•					
TURBINES ARE GENER	eally c	OMPATIBLE; CONTRAST IS LINE & COLDE (SOME					
Λ		,					



Panel Member: PAW FR ITE
Date: 4/25/07
Viewpoint #
Viewpoint Description:
Foreyond consists of a rural road and residential buildings. Middle sound
consists of woodland regetation which is mostly screened by the forecround
puildings. Bockground views are not visible.

#### Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation	,	Foreground and middlegrand regetation
	_ کــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	minimized scale contrat
Land Use	_	Foregrand dojects dominite use character
	2	and minimize the contrast of the towars
Land Form	_	The land form is relatively pon-accompt but it appears to nowns are partially screed &
	2	but it appears the towers are partially served of
Viewer Activity	2_	The fanaton
		Minimal contrast - towers are subordick to
Water	NA	'
	'JA	
Total		
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	80	
Average Score		
/4	2.0	

# **Overall Aesthetic Impact:**

Two turbines are visible from this viewpoint. The foregood elements, especially for building dominate the character of the view because of their scale, textre, and before. The turbines are subordisk in this view attough they do interrupt the horizon line.

Panel Member: KICHARD F. KILEY, KLA
Date: APRIL 27, 2007
Viewpoint #_ <u>2</u> کے
Viewpoint Description:
CLOSE UP VIEW OF TWO MODEST SIZED RESIDENCES AS VIEWED
FROM THE BOADWAY BORDERED BY CURB AND SIDEWALK. THE
READ YARD AREA IS CLEARLY VISIBLE WITH DENSE WOODED
area beyond.

#### Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments	
Vegetation		TURBINE FORM SLIGHTLY COMT RASTS VE	SETATION.
	2	THEY FILL THE GAP BETWEED NEAR TREES	
Land Use	1 .	TURBINE FORM AND SCALE AT THIS DIS	
	1	15 MORE INTERESTING THAN DISTRACTEXTURE AT THIS DISTANCE IS CONSIST	TING.
Land Form	١,	:	
	<u> </u>	EXISTING FOREGROUND ANTENNA STR	
Viewer Activity	1	SMALL TURBING SCALE AND MINIMAL DEN	<b>25</b> (19
14/	l l	HAVE NO IMPACT.	
Water	NA		
Total	5.0		
Average Score	1.25		

# **Overall Aesthetic Impact:**

_[	7	60	NTRA	ST TO	THE	ANTE	NNA	d MAST	ON	ONE R	ESIDENCE	THE
											DISTANC	,
									,	1019	12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	<u> </u>
J	AV	<u> </u>	VERY	LITTLE	712	<u>OAL</u>	<u>IMPA</u>	<u> ጓራፕ.</u>				

	Marble R	iver Visual Assessment					
Panel Member: D. Brackett							
Date: 12/14/05							
7.7							
Viewpoint # <i>34</i>		<del></del>					
Viewpoint Description:							
Pomoromic View. Area	is unde	veloped with some forming development prizontal; Scale is large; Color is brown/green me medium; form is open.					
exceptions. Line is s	trongly he	prizontal; Scale is large; Color is brown/green					
with blue to white sky	: textu	ne medium; form is open.					
Visual Impact							
		onditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5					
		in the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, de your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic					
impact from this viewpoint.							
Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments					
Vegetation	4	line, scale, color & form are not compatible					
Land Use	3	line, scale, color & form are not compatible texture is somewhat compatible line scale & color are not Compatible texture & form are compatible.					
Land Form	5	line, scale, color and form are in strong contrast; feeture is somewhat texture & form are compatible compatible					
Viewer Activity	-	terture & Fin are compatible compatible					
Water	3	texture & firm are compatible compatible line, relor & scale are not					
	NΑ						
Total -4	15.0						
Average Score	3.95	GET 34 COMPARISON FOR					
NEW RATING TOTAL							
Overall Aesthetic Impact:							
While I find the view generally uninteresting and the wind machines							
While I find the view generally uninteresting and the wind machines Create interest, the expanse of the wind form and creates							
a regative impart.							

Panel Member: D. BRACKETT	
Date: 3/10/07	<b>—</b>
Viewpoint # 34 COMPARISON SIM.	
Viewpoint Description:	
SEE ORGINAL ASSESSMENT	

#### Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments ,
Vegetation	3.5	LINE, SCALE & FORM ARE NOT COMP.
Land Use	3	COLOR OF & TEXTURE - OK
Land Form	5	
Viewer Activity	3	LINE, SCALE - COLOR ARE NOT COMP. TEXTURE & FORM ARE
Water		
Total	14.5	
Average Score	3.63	

Overal! Aesthetic Impact:

THE MACHINES CREATE SOME INTEREST IN AN OTHER WISE UNINTERESTING LANDSCAPE, HOWEVER, THEY DO HAVE A DEFINITE IMPACT ON THE LANDSCAPE,

Panel Member:	D. BRACKETT	
Date: <u>3/10/07</u>		<del></del>
Viewpoint #_ <del>34</del>	CUMMULATIVE	SIMULATION
Viewpoint Descri		

# Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments	]
Vegetation	5		
Land Use	4	LINE, SCALE, COLOR FTEXTURE ARE NOT FORM 18	COMP.
Land Form	5		
Viewer Activity	4	LINE, SCALE, COLOR & TEXTURE ARE NOT COMP. FORM IS	]
Water			
Total	18		
Average Score	4.15		

#### **Overall Aesthetic Impact:**

Panel Member: PAW FRITZ
Date: 14/05
Viewpoint #34
Viewpoint Description:
Foregood view courts of you short spossleup green field. Vertical his
horsontal hieracular the foreignant meets The middleground heavenant
at at the large of the valley closest to be your at the horizon live
which is hardy visible. The view is wide openional composition. The whility tower in the middleproud is a distinct vertical object that is controsting in scale to the adjacent vegetation and land form.  Visual Impact
Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments	1
Vegetation	4	Comments The toward choicet to the victure are last controsting the scale contract is more ap The turbines dominate the middleground but not recessary reduce find character The matical line of the tubines stiently	1
		less contrasting; the scale contrast is more ap	as the
Land Use	3	The tembolies dominate the middles round	mare tentre
ļ. <u>.                                   </u>	1 3	but not recessary reduce front character	avoy.
Land Form	3 .	1 1 2 0 4 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1	
Ni A	<del> </del> _	obscure the hon no lot like continuity	
Viewer Activity	8	Relatively undanged attrough expanse of number of tubited may drow vicur's eye to	
Water	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	runtar of tubiles may drow vecus cyc to	awider
VVale	NA	•	•
Total	10		
-4	13,0		
Average Score	225		
	3.25		

Overall Aesthetic Impact:	in a cumulative in
The mide expose of the tubing locations and the	total number acteded effect and
another by on the landcape that does not necessarily	contest to third
Character, The color of the public parisings the Confes	est with the flat toposciplary.

Panel Member: You FMT2	,
Date: 3/14/07	
Viewpoint # 34 Cumulatives	
Viewpoint Description:	

Foregood clevated slightly in comparison to middle stood. Middle grand is relatively that all verytoded. Portagons is partially visible an appear as footnille. Some agricultural person shutness are protectly visible in the middlessed.

#### Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation	4	The turbris contrast to scale of foregood and moddlesund vectorium
Land Use	1	The extent of he tradinis begins to dominate the four of the eye at the modalizable
Land Form	_3	The lawform remains was istut in the middlessed.
Viewer Activity	4	Expose of twoms and another domesting to the view of the middleward.
Water	"/A	
Total	15	
Average Score	3.15	

Overall Aesthetic Impact:

The tubies consume the entire view of the modelegroup. There is more contract with foreground regretation in compared to previous summations. The extent of the tubies changed the four of the middle ground from non-descript to more Industrial.

Panel Member: RICHARD F. RILEY, RLA
Date: DECEMBER 14, 2005
MAIZCH 5, 2007
Viewpoint #_34

#### Viewpoint Description:

PANDRAMIC VIEW FROM HILLSIDE VANTAGE POINT TO HORIZON.

VIEW ALONG RURAL SECONDARY ROAD FLANKED BY AGRICULTURAL
FIELDS WITH SCRUB TREE GROWTH AT THE BASE OF THE HILL AND
HEAVILY TIZEED GENTLY ROLLING LAND CONCENTRATED WITH
TURBINES SEEMINGLY EXTENDING TO THE HORIZON.

#### Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation		TURBINE TEXTURE CONTRACTS. ELEVATED VANTAGE
	13	POINT AND FOREGROUND TREES ACCENTUATE CONTRAST.
Land Use	_	TURBINE FORM IS A STRIKING CONTRAST IN 60%
	3	OF VISTA. LIMITS OF TURBINE FIELD IS VISIBLE.
Land Form	٠,,	VISIBLE LIHITS OF TURBINE FIELD ACCENTURIES
	. 4	THE CONTRAST OF VISTA WITH + WITHOUT TURBINES.
Viewer Activity	11	VIEWER'S ATTENTION IS DRAWN TOWARD TUEBINES
	<del>  7</del>	AS A DISTRACTION IN CONTRAST TO PARTIAL VISTA WITHOUT TUKBINES.
Water	NA	
7.1.1	IANA	
Total - 4	14.0	
Average Score		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	3.50	

# **Overall Aesthetic Impact:**

WHILE THE PRESENCE OF TURBINES IN THE BACKGROUND PROVIDE A SOMEWHAT INTERESTING ASPECT, THE VISIBLE LIMITS OF THE TURBINE FIELD WITH ONE APPARENTLY REMOTE TURBING IS DISTRACTING FROM THE OTHERWISE UNIFORM DENSITY.

S:Environmental Standards\Standard Forms\Visual Impact Forms\Marble River Visual Assessment Form.doc

\*\* No Noticeable Overlall Change in Impact. Two Turbines in Closer Proximity are

OFFSET By The Large Percentage at Greater Distance than Jone View Distance

Panel Member: RICHARD F. RILEY, RLA

Date: MARCH 5, 2007

Viewpoint # 34 (CUMULATIVE SIMULATION

#### **Viewpoint Description:**

PANORAMIC VIEW FROM HILL SIDE VANTAGE POINT TO HORIZON.

VIEW ALONG RURAL SECONDARY ROAD FLANKED BY ACRICULTURAL

FIELDS WITH SCRUB GROWTH AT THE BASE OF THE HILL AND

HEAVILY TREED GENTLY ROLLING LAND BEYOND. A HEAVY

CONCENTRATION OF TURBINES DOMINATE THE ENTIRE VISTA.

#### Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments	
Vegetation	5	TURBINE DEUSITY AND FORM OVER POWE	e.
Land Use	5	TURBINE DENSITY AND SCALE ARE SO OVERWHELMING AS TO DEFINE THE LAND US	÷ε.
Land Form	5	CREATE A NEW LAND FORM.	
Viewer Activity	5	TURBINE DENSITY, SCALE AND FORM COMMAND THE VIEWER'S TOTAL ATTENTION.	
Water	NA		
Total	20		
Average Score	5		

#### **Overall Aesthetic Impact:**

HIGH DEPOSITY OF TURBIDES IN CLOSE PROXIMITY, MIDDLE DISTANCE
AND SEEMINGLY EXTENDING TO THE HORIZON TOTALLY DOMINATE
THE PANORAMIC VIEW OF AN OTHERWISE VERY AESTHETIC VISTA.

# Panel Member: D. BRACKETT Date: 5/1/07 Viewpoint # 36 Viewpoint Description: RURAL LANDSCAPE, FREEROWND EITHER MEADOW OR FARM MELD; BACK GROWND WOODED, LINE IS HOPIZONTAL; SCALE IS MECHUM; COLOR GREEN TO OPANGE WITH RUBE SET; TEXTURE MEDIUM TO SMOTH; FORM OPEN

Marble River Visual Assessment

#### Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation	5	STRONG COUTRAST - LINE, SCALE, COLOR TEXTURE & FORM
Land Use	4.5	TEXTURE & FORM  CONTRACT - LINE, SCALE, COLOR, TEXTURE &  FORM
Land Form	4.5	CONTRAST - LINE, SCALE, COLOR, TEXTURE & FORM
Viewer Activity	4.5	CONTRAST - LINE, SCALE, CALOR TEXTURE & FORM
Water	NA	
Total	18.50	
Average Score	4.63	

# **Overall Aesthetic Impact:**

_	THE	SCALE	CREATES	THE MOST	CAUTPAST.;	<u>OTHER</u>	ELEMENTS	CONTRIBUTE
							<u></u> ,	
_								

	Marble Ri	ver Visual Assessment
Panel Member: PAUL  Date: 4/15/07		
Viewpoint #		<del></del>
Viewpoint Description:		
	-	level field that includes intermittent hedgerous.
The middle grown opnists	of wood	lad vesetating on kninos follow to prography.
The bookgroul is mostly	1 Screen	ed by foregrow and middle grand vecetation.
The backyroul appear	s to cons	ist of rolling laftorm and woodleds.
		4
(strong contrast). Under comm	nents, expla	onditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 ain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, de your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic
Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation	3	The 3 tubies in the foregood represent the freakest contract, otherise to regard rejeted in raining as ment
Land Use	3	The rural character remains dominut aftering
Land Form	2	The 3 tubies in the foregood represent the greatest autoset, otherica foregood regretation rainings input. The rural character remains dominate attempt. The rural character remains adds another dimense to the The rolling topography is only minorly use imported.
Viewer Activity	3	The foregrown tubines dominate viewer focus, were the eye has no focus,
Water	PIA	
Total	11.0	
Average Score	2.75	
at the turbines in the	middlespo-	the landscape amforeste in most imported in this view. A majority a partially blend in with the open sky, tubing contents the form of the

\\syr-fs-002\standards\Environmental Standards\Standard Forms\Visual Impact Forms\Project Specific VIA Forms\Archival\Marble River Visual Assessment Form.doc

forward vegetation.

Panel Member: RICHARD F. RILEY, RLA
Date: APRIL 27, 2007
Viewpoint #
Viewpoint Description:
VIEW ACROSS PASTURES SEPARATED BY SPARCE HEDGE ROW
WITH DENSE WOODS BEYOND. THE FAR HORIZON IS SLIGHTLY
VISIBLE ABOVE DISTANT TIZEETOPS.

# Visual Impact 🦈

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation	, <u> </u>	TEXTURE & SCALE OF TURBINES IN CLOSE
	5	PROXIMITY OVERPOWER THE VEGETATION.
Land Use	2	LARGE SCALE TURBINES APPEAR DIVORCED FROM THE
	3	LANDSCAPE. DISTANT TURBINE SCALE IS COMPATIBLE.
Land Form	-	SCALE OF CLOSEST TURBINES ARE AU ISOLATED FORM.
	3	SCALE + DEDSITY OF DISTANT TURBINS REFLECTS LAND FORM.
Viewer Activity	<b></b>	Overpowering scale and form of closest
	5	TURBINGS DOMINATE THE VIEW.
Water	1	
	NA	
Total	11 0	
	16.0	
Average Score	4.0	

# **Overall Aesthetic Impact:**

SCALE AND FORM OF TWO TURBINES IN CLOSE PROXIMITY
OVERPOWER THE LANDSCAPE AND COMMAND THE VIEWER'S
ATTENTION. TURBINES IN THE DISTANCE ARE A SIGNIFICANT
ELEMENT BUT MUCH LESS IMPOSING.

\\syr-[s-002\standards\Environmental Standards\Standard Forms\Visual Impact Forms\Project Specific VIA Forms\Archival\Marble River Visual Assessment Form.doc

Panel Member: D. Brackett
Date: 12/14/05
Viewpoint # <del>28</del>
Viewpoint Description:
asicultural! Barns & cows & farm equipment dominate the view
agricultural! Barns & cows & farm equipment dominate the view. The foreground is pasture and the back pound is undeveloped wood
lot. Line is horizontal; scale is medium; color is green & brown with
generally blue sky; texture is medium; form is open.
Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation	4	line, stale, & Texture, are not
Land Use	9	line, scale are not
Land Form	4	line scale Texture & Form on not
Viewer Activity	2	Color, sexture of form are compatible line, scale are not.
Water		
Total ÷ 4	13.0	
Average Score	3,25	

Overall Aesthetic	mpact:		
The over whelmi	ng impact	is the	Scale, Secondly the vertical
line of the ma	chines also	Creste	scale. Secondly the vertical impact.
0	134 121		

Panel Member: D. BRACKETT	
Date: 3/10/07	
Viewpoint# <u>38 Comparisou</u>	
Viewpoint Description:	
SAME AS OPIGINAL	

#### Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

# SAME AS OPIGNAL

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation	4	
Land Use	3	
Land Form	4	
Viewer Activity	2	
Water		
Total	13	
Average Score	3.25	

# **Overall Aesthetic Impact:**

THERE IS NO ADDITIONAL IMPACT.

Reviewed New layout 3-14.2007,

#### Marble River Visual Assessment

Panel Member: PAUL FEUTE
Date: 12/14/05
Viewpoint #
Viewpoint Description:
Road particularly and agriculatural structures organises The botton
bout of the view. The middleymoul consists of woodland variable
ad dark adors. The top of the vegetation needs the horizon
Common to the rural setting.

#### Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation	4	The closect trabine strongly constant the sende of the vegetation.
Land Use	3	fual d'avoiter remains dominant
Land Form	3	The flat toposraphy remains relatively
Viewer Activity	3	Purol attributes remain relatively undranged -5kil appears or a wanting landscape
Water	PIA	
Total + 4	13.0	
Average Score	3.25	

# **Overal! Aesthetic Impact:**

The scale of the turbines in the middlesmont charges one perspective of the spore a meaning the spice extends beyond the existing monitor live in.

The foregrow view most imported because the bour structure alcadicant veytation becomes subordinate to the fubires.

Panel Member: RICHARD F. RILEY, PLA
Date: DECEMBER 14, 2005 MARCH 5, 2007
MARCH 5, 2007
Viewpoint # 38

#### Viewpoint Description:

VIEW ACROSS A GRAZING FIELD AT TWO BARNS WITH WOODED AREA BEYOND. TWO TURBINES OF RELATIVELY DOMINANT SIZE ARE VISIBLE IN CLOSE PROXIMITY TO THE BARNS WITH SEVERAL ADDITIONAL TURBINES WITH MUCH LESS IMPOSING INFLUENCE AT A GREATER DISTANCE FROM THE BARNS.

#### Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation		THE SCALE OF THE TWO CLOSEST TURBINES
	5	DWARF THE ADJACENT TREES
Land Use		THE TURBINES FORM CONTRASTS THE
	3	BARN STRUCTURES IN CLOSE PROXIMITY.
Land Form	]	THE SCALE OF THE TWO CLOSEST TURBINES
	4	DOMINATES THE LANDSCAPE.
Viewer Activity	_	TURISING LINES PARTIALLY CONTRAST THE
	3	BARN LINES BUT REFLECT ANGULAR 1200F LINES
Water		
	NA	
Total : 4	15,0	
	10,0	
Average Score	2 2/-	
	3,75	

# **Overall Aesthetic Impact:**

THE MORE DISTANT TURBINES ARE REASONABLY WELL CONCERLED IN THE TREES. THE CLOSEST TURBINES COMMAND ATTENTION

\*No NOTICEABLE OVERALL CHANGE IN IMPACT. A FEW ADDITIONAL TURBINES IN THE DISTANCE IN THE 2007 VIEWPOINT GO UNNOTICED AS COMPARED TO THE TWO S: VEnvironmental Standards Standards Forms Visual Impact Forms Marble River Visual Assessment Form. doc
IN CLOSE PROXIMITY IN THE 2005 & 2007 VIEW POINTS.

WHICH DETRACTS FROM THE PEACEFUL RUBAL SETTING.

Panel Member: D. Brac	ekett	
Date: 12/14/05		
Viewpoint #		
Viewpoint Description:		
Rural development / 1	iouse wi	th out buildings) Cemetary in foreground ecround. Back ground is undeveloped - tal; scale is medium to small; color is lue & white sky; Texture is medium;
mowed lown in al	le of for	ecround. Back yound is undeveloped -
Whitel land line is	houan	tal: Scale is medium to small: Color is
eared white he	1150 £ 12	des tulito skui Touture in mediumi
form is somewhat	- 0 000	all
TO DAY OF SOME WHOLE	VM CLA	yex.
Viewel Immed		
Visual Impact		onditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5
		in the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, de your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic
Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation	1	line, scale, color Exture & form are compatible
Land Use	1	Same Same
Land Form	1	some respecially with whilities
Viewer Activity	1	Same
Water	NA	
Total 24	40	
Average Score	1.0	
Overall Aesthetic Impact: There is no signific	cant imp	pact.

Panel Member: D. BRACKETT	
Date: 3/10/07	
Viewpoint# <i>74 Compaeiso</i> w	
Viewpoint Description:	
SAME AS ORIGINAL	

#### Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation	1	
Land Use	1	
Land Form	1	
Viewer Activity	1	
Water		
Total		
Average Score		

#### **Overall Aesthetic Impact:**

THERE IS NO ADDITION IMPACT,

Panel Member: D. BRACKETT	
Date: 3/13/07	
Viewpoint # 74 CUMMULATIVE	
Viewpoint Description:	
SAME AS ORIGINAL	

#### Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation	1	
Land Use	1	
Land Form	1	
Viewer Activity	1	
Water	17/A	
Total	И	
Average Score	1	

#### **Overall Aesthetic Impact:**

THERE IS NO ADDITIONAL IMPACT

Reviewed new layout 3-19-607, No change

#### **Marble River Visual Assessment**

Panel Member: PALL FRITZ
Date: 12/14/05
Viewpoint #
Viewpoint Description:
Sounds in the foregrowth dominate to view. The immediate
Foregood cosists of testidential gate buildings on open nanicured
burn. There is a strong lackin of a boundary around the conday
monuments. Woodland edge detine to horrow line, Hedgedoward revoil
road also form wible line in the landscape.

#### Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation	1	tubre scale black well with adjoint
Land Use	3	foresmoul should remain dominat
Land Form	2	minor undulations in latering reflected in varying ht. of tubines Little or no impact on the activity because foregood conditions remain to save
Viewer Activity	1	Little or no import on the actually because forecount conditions person to save
Water		
Total + 4	7.0	
Average Score	1.75	

# **Overall Aesthetic Impact:**

beyond the horizon enlarges the spatial dominan of the view but The Sale of the tubical al This color and texture bled in with the middlessoul.

Panel Member:	PAUL FRIDE
Date: 3/14/	07
	,
Viewpoint #	+ CUMULATIVE

Viewpoint Description:

Elements in the foregroud such as vegetation and sturtures downate the view, Objects in In middle ground one. Subsurdance to the middle ground. The background it not visible. Foreground and middle ground monthly drivided by weadland vegetation.

### Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation	1	Tublies screened by vegetation. Scale Contrast is minimal.
Land Use	3	Foregood elevets communate forces - little to no import monatains inficulte topography warter in comparison to fore good
Land Form	2	Minor wallatins inticate topography warter in comparison to fore april
Viewer Activity	1	Little or no distriction in companion to
Water	1/1	
Total	1	
Average Score	1:75	

# **Overall Aesthetic Impact:**

The 11 Minor scale content within the view. Foregraph elecuts observe the view of the tubier. Teuboin provident may after the fore of this view, but only four tubins we usible - not enough to suggest and cismificant impuls.

Panel Member: RICHARD F. RILEY RLA
Date: DECEMBER 14, 2005 MARCH 5, 2007
MARCH 5, 2007
Viewpoint # 74

### Viewpoint Description:

VIEW ACROSS MOWED LAWN TOWARD A WELL-KEPT RESIDENCE,
DETACHED GARAGE AND SHED. BURIAL MARKERS ARE VISIBLE
IN THE LAWN, PLANKING THE HOUSE AND BEYOND THE RURAL
SECONDARY ROAD ON THE OPPOSITE SIDE OF THE HOUSE IS A
MODERATELY DENSE WOODS WITH SEVERAL TURBINES RISING
ABOUE THE TREES.

### Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation		TUIZENDE HEIGHT IS IN SCALE WITH OTHER VEIZTICAL
	11	ELEMENTS INCLUDING TALLER TREES + UTILITY POLES
Land Use		TURBINE COLOR BLENDS WITH SKY AND UTILITY POLES
		IN SCALE WITH OTHER MAN-MADE OBJECTS IN VIEW
Land Form	1 ,	TURBING DENGITY PARALLELS THE FORM OF OTHER
		YERTICAL GLENENTS IN THE LANDSCAPE WITH
Viewer Activity		TUEBINE DISTRIBUTION IS CONSISTENT, THE LINE OF
		THE TIZEE LINE MARKED BY OCCASIONAL TALLER THEES +
Water		UTILITY POLES
	NA	
Total		
-4	4.0	
Average Score	1.0	

# Overall Aesthetic Impact:

THE TURBINE SCALE DUE TO DISTANCE MITICATES THE IMPACT TO THAT OF A UTILITY POLE. THE COLOR BLENDS WITH THE SKY AND THE TEXTURE BEARS A SIMILARITY TO TREE BRANCHING. FAR DISTANT TURBING ARE ALL BUT INVISIBLE AND HAVE NO IMPACT.

Panel Member: <u>Pichardo</u>	<u>F.</u>	RILEY.	<u>PL</u> A
Date: MARCH 5, 2007		,	

Viewpoint # 74 (COMULATIVE SIMULATION)

### Viewpoint Description:

VIEW ACROSS MOWED LAWN TOWARD A WELL-KEPT RESIDENCE, DETACHED GARAGE AND SHED. BUZIAL MARKERS ARE VISIBLE IN THE LAWN. FLANKING THE HOUSE AND BEYOND THE RURAL SECONDARY ROAD ON THE OPPOSITE SIDE OF THE HOUSE IS A MODERATELY DENSE WOODS WITH SEVERAL TURBINES RISING ABOVE THE TREES.

#### Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation		TURBING HEIGHT IS IN SCALE WITH OTHER VERTICAL
	1	ELEMENTS INCLUDING TALLER TREES & UTILITY POLES. TURBINE COLOR BLENDS WITH SKY AND UTILITY POLES
Land Use		
		IN SCALE WITH OTHER MAN-MADE OBJECTS IN VIEW.
Land Form		TURBING DENSITY PARALLELS THE FORM OF OTHER
	<u>                                     </u>	VERTICAL ELEMENTS IN THE LANDSCAPE
Viewer Activity	1 ,	TURBINE DISTRIBUTION IS CONSISTENT WITH THE
		TREE LINE MARKED BY OCCASIONAL TALLER TREES AND
Water	1	עזועדץ אטנפג.
	NA_	
Total	1 ,1	
	4	
Average Score	1 .	

# Overall Aesthetic Impact:

THE TURBINE SCALE DUE TO DEDSITY MITIGATES THE IMPACT TO THAT OR A UTILITY POLE. THE COLDIR BLENDS WITH THE SKY AND THE TEXTURE BEARS A SIMILARITY TO TREE BRANCHING. FAR DISTANT TURBINES ARE ALL BUT INVISIBLE AND HAVE NO IMPACT.

# Marble River Visual Assessment Panel Member: D. Brackett Date: /2/20/05 Viewpoint # 8/ Viewpoint Description: Rural; agriculture on gravel road. Horizon is horizontal; is blue with white; texture medium to fine; form is generally Visual Impact Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint. Landscape Component Contrast Comments Ecole cylor and texture are compatible Vegetation Scale, Color and texture are competible Land Use line & form are not. Color & Exture one compatible Land Form Time scale & form are not. Time, scale, cour + texture are compatible Viewer Activity form is mot. Water Total 7.0 Average Score SEE SI COMPARISON' **Overall Aesthetic Impact:** rees, fence posts and color of the dam structures delo

Condution.

Panel Member: D. BRICKETT	
Date: 3/10/07	
Viewpoint # 8 COMPARISON	
Viewpoint Description:	
SAME AS ORIGINAL	

# Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation	1	
Land Use	1	
Land Form	1	
Viewer Activity	1	
Water		
Total	H	
Average Score		

# Overall Aesthetic Impact:

VERY LITTLE IMPACT.

Panel Member: Phu FRIE
Date: 12/14/05
Viewpoint #
Viewpoint Description:
View is coincided into three extress or defined by the grownel road.
The texture and endor variation of the regulation in the forespond
on the list side of the road is random. The right side victor-
right of the road - is a typical landscape of the region. The force
of he has consists of he sty about The horizon line.
Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

J-3/1407

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation	32	The random hts of the terbine varies Similar to the ex. vegetation fund/ogniculture use relatively unshanged
Land Üse	32	fund/pariouthere use relatively
Land Form	32	undulate with the minor scale changes
Viewer Activity	2	view persons de-ided into Thirts
Water	NA	
Total + 4	11/6	8.0
Average Score	275	2.0

**Overall Aesthetic Impact:** 

Panel Member: RICHAR  Date: DECEMBER 15  MARCH 5, 2007	,
Viewpoint # 8/	
Viewpoint Description:	
1	IL ROAD TOWARD A CLUSTER OF FARM BUILDINGS.
N THE FOREGROUND	THE BOAD IS FLANKED BY A PASTURE ADJOINING
THE BAIRNS, WITH HA	TURAL UNDER GROWTH ON THE OPPOSITE SIDE DOTTED WITH
ONE SIDE ON ROTH SIDES OF TH	THE BACKGROUND APPEARS DENSELY WOODED. VISIBLE SEVERAL VISIBLE AT VARYING DISTANCES, E ROAD AIRE, TURBINES IN CLOSE PROXIMITY WITH
NONE AT AN IMPOSING	A CONTRACT OF THE PROPERTY OF
(strong contrast). Under comm	n existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 nents, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line,  Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic  THE FORM AND SCALE OF TURBINE IN CLOSE
3/5/9-	PROXIMITY ADDS UNIQUE INTERCEST WITHOUT BEING OVER POWERING.
Landscape Component	Contrast Comments
Vegetation	42 PROXIMITY ARE STRIKINGLY UNIQUE.
Land Use	Z TURBINES FORMS IN CLOSE PROXIMITY DO NOT
Land Form	3 APPEAR TO COMPETE WITH AGRICULTURAL ACTIVITIES, THE TERBINE TEXTURE WITH ITS AERODYNAMIC
	5 THISTYLING STOUGHOLD COUTO AST THE IDEFAULAR NATURAL
Viewer Activity	329 ATTIZACTIVE IN ITS SCULPTURE LIKE UNIQUENESS.
Water	NA (SAME COMMENT AS BEFORE)
Total 74 9.0	TURBINE TEXTURE WITH AERODYNAMIC STYLING ADDS
Average Score 2.25	THE TURBINE FORM AT THIS SCALE IS ATTRACTIVE WITHOUT COMMANDING ATTENTION.
Overall Aesthetic Impact:	
HE LINE AND FORM 6	F THE TURBINES IN CLOSE PROXIMITY TO THE
ROAD EXHIBIT A SCU	LPTURELIKE ATTRACTION. AND THE SCALE IS
CONSISTENT WITH OTHE	R VERTICAL ELEMENTS IN THE LANDSCAPE.

Panel Member: D Brace	kett		
Date: /2/20/05			
Viewpoint # <u>/65</u>			
Viewpoint Description:			
Looking through 5	hamle	top village to a ridge in the	
back crowned. The	7)	mend: a athletic development w	ill
residential stuck	' () '.		l'a
back ground is rue		veloped mider. Horizon is horizon tal.	<del></del>
Scale is medium,		gran & green in fire ground, green to	<del></del>
brown in back crowned and firm is enclosed Visual Impact	f sky	is blue with white; texture is m	redi
(strong contrast). Under comm	nents, expla	nditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 in the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line de your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic	<del>)</del> ,
Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments	
Landscape Component Vegetation	Contrast	line is the only thing which is	
	Contrast		
Vegetation	Contrast	Time is the only thing which is moticable	
Vegetation  Land Use	Contrast	Time is the only thing which is moticoble.	
Vegetation  Land Use  Land Form	Contrast	Tine is the only thing which is moticoble	
Vegetation  Land Use  Land Form  Viewer Activity	1	Tine is the only thing which is moticoble	
Vegetation  Land Use  Land Form  Viewer Activity  Water	Contrast	Tine is the only thing which is moticoble	

Panel Member: D. BRACKETT	
Date: 3/10/07	
Viewpoint# 165 COMPARISON	
Viewpoint Description:	
SAME AS ORIGINAL	

# Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation	1	
Land Use	1	
Land Form	1	
Viewer Activity	1	
Water		
Total		
Average Score		

# **Overall Aesthetic Impact:**

NO IMPACT

Reviewed new layout 3-14-2007 No change

#### Marble River Visual Assessment

Panel Member: PAUL FRITZ
Date: 12/14/05
Viewpoint #
Viewpoint Description:
Viewer within unbautrenvironment/landiceps surrouled by real
characteristics, particularly woodland in the background. Recreations land
I see demonster the Lorenze with over fields and recognitional tra
Structures. Some foreground objects purchase The horsen line which is
formed by woodland ventation and a hill-type landform.

#### Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation	73	turbus dictinatly outscure vegetation
	3	h some ports of the vices
Land Use	Э	woodland by adding a human feature with the space
	_	woodland by adding a human feature into the space
Land Form		one minor contact win the land form the hill.  the twois are additional elements above the position, but are not recessarily distinctive
	1 2	turbous greatly fall-away for the hill.
Viewer Activity	2	the tubick are additional elements above
	1	The position, but are not recessoring of the
Water	N/m	, , ,
	171	
Total + 4	10.0	
1	70.0	
Average Score	2,50	
	6,30	

# **Overall Aesthetic Impact:**

Minimal anexal acquire inspect because the scale and weight of the turbines is less than the objects in the foregrown like the church except and several lightpoles. The color of the turbies blende well with the area of adjant vigetoxion.

Panel Member: RICHARD F. RILEY, RLA
Date: DECEMBER 15, 2005
MARCH 5, 2007
Viewpoint # 165

### Viewpoint Description:

VIEW ACROSS AN ATHLETIC FIELD COMPLEX TOWARD A RURAL
COMMUNITY. SMALL RESIDENCE-LIKE STRUCTURES ARE VISIBLE IN
THE MIDDLE GROUND WITH A STEEPLE, FLAGDOLE AND ATHLETIC FIED
LIGHT STANDARDS RISING ABOUE THE HORIZON, IN THE FAR DISTANT
BACKGROUND, APPROXIMATELY SO TURBINES ARE BARELY VISIBLE AGAINST
THE SKY AROUE THE HEAVILY TIZEED HORIZON.

### Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation		TURBINE SCALE AND TEXTURE AT THE HORROW LINE
	1	DO NOT COMPETE OR CONTRAST MIDDLE GROUND VEGETHIOL
Land Use		TURBINE FORMS AT THIS DISTANCE ARE PARELY
	<u> </u>	VISIBLE IN CONTRAST TO CLOSEL MAN-MADE ELEMENTS.
Land Form		THE STRONG LINE OF THE HORIZON IS INTERBUPTED BY
		FAR MORE DYNAMIC ELEMENTS (LIGHT STANDARDS)
Viewer Activity		TURBINES ARE AN INSIGNIFICANT ELEMENT IN LANDSCAPE
		DUE TO MINIMAL VISIBILITY
Water		
	NA	
Total	11.45	
14	4,0	
Average Score	10	

# **Overall Aesthetic Impact:**

MINIMAL CONCENTRATION OF DISTANT TURBINES COUPLED WITH SMALL SCALE
AND PALE COLOR WHEN VIEWED AGAINST THE SKY BLEND THE TURBINES
INTO THE BACKGROUND,

\* NO NOTICEABLE OVERALL CHANGE IN IMPACT. ALTHOUGH A FEW TURBINES IN THE 2007 VIEW POINT APPEAR SLIGHTLY CLOSER THAN IN THE 2005 VIEW POINT, S:\(\text{Environmental Standards\\Standard Forms\\Visual bripact Forms\\Marble River Visual Assessment Form.doc

THE RELATIVE CHANGE IN OVERALL IMPACT IS NEGLICIBLE.

# Panel Member: Doug Brackett Date: 12/20/05 Viewpoint #\_\_\_\_\_170 Viewpoint Description: Rural, one form structure in midground & what looks like posture in foreground. Line is horisontal; Scale in large; Color is green to med and sky is blue with some white; texture is medium to fine; form is open

# Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation	2	color & line are not compatible
Land Use	2	11 11
Land Form	2	11 " " "
Viewer Activity	1	Colon is not compatible
Water		
Total + 4	70	
Average Score	175	

verall Aesthetic Impact:			
te machines in this view	oue compa	tible. They are	not
n your face" and are into	restina on	the houson.	-
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	j		

# Panel Member: D. BRACKETT Date: 3/10/07 Viewpoint # 170 COMPARISON Viewpoint Description: SAME AS ORIGINAL

### Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Marble River Visual Assessment

# SAME AS OPIGINAL

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation	2	
Land Use	2	
Land Form	2	
Viewer Activity	1	
Water		
Total		
Average Score		

# Overall Aesthetic Impact:

NO ADDITION IMPACT.

Reviewed new layout 3-14-07

#### **Marble River Visual Assessment**

Panel Member: PACL FRIP
Date: 14/05
Viewpoint #
Viewpoint Description:
The view is divided into three horrontal lugers. The immediate
frequent is defined by low green grosses with relatively smooth
faxture. The edge of the gross field meets thiddle ground woodland
ventodos with a district horizontal line ledge. This is a traical
View of fural /agriculture but use. The lautom stopes up in the form of will which is topped by woodland vegetation, A postert horizon for its formed where the woodland vegetation must the visual Impact sky,
in the form of will which is topped by woodland vectorion, A
risual Impact sky, is formed where the woodland vegetation much the
Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic mpact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation	3	The tubres outside against vegetation
Land Use	2	The forward suggests rural/agriculture and his is relatively inchanged
Land Form	2	tubuic appear to follow hill time
Viewer Activity	3	inpure of tulories within were stishtly
Water	NIX	
Total + H	10,0	
Average Score	2.50	

# **Overall Aesthetic Impact:**

Minimal award mount become the project attributes of Mulantscape remain unchanged. The turbin peaker work were with the faithour by blendy in with the falling away of the hidden hillside. The color of the fultivir also blends with the adjacent skyline. The exposes on majour of the fultivir also blends with the adjacent skyline. The exposes on majour of the history last negative migrate some thouse are purhavely obscured by the business majories forms Warble River Visual Assessment Form. doc

Panel Member: RICHARD F. RILEY, RLA	
Date: DECEMBER 15, 2005 MARCH 5, 2007	
March 5, 2007	
Viewpoint # <u>17</u>	

### **Viewpoint Description:**

VIEW ACROSS HILLSIDE PASTURE TOWARD LOWLAND, OPPOSING HILLSIDE AN HORIZON. IN THE MIDDLE GROWND, A BARN AND CLEARING ARE LESTLED IN A HEAVILY WOODED HILLSIDE EXTENDING TO THE NOT-TOO-DISTANT CREST OF THE HILL (HORIZON). TWENTY EVENLY DISTRIBUTED TURBING ARE VISIBLE PLSING AROSE THE TREE LINE FROM BEYOND THE HILL CRE

# Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation		WHILE THE TURBINE FORM CONTRASTS THE TREE
	Z	MASS THE SMALL SCALE MINIMIZES IMPACT.
Land Use		THE TREE MASSING BAND BELOW THE HORIZON
	1 1	ISOLATES THE ACRICULTURAL USE FROM TURISINE FOR
Land Form		UNIFORM TURBINE DIST PIBUTION AND CONSISTE
	2	OF LINE ABOVE THE HORIZON REPLICATES THE HORI
Viewer Activity		THE STRONG HODIZON LINE IS INTERRUPTED
	2	EXCLUSIVELY BY THE UNIQUE TURBING FORMS
Water	1	
	NA	
Total ÷ 4	7.0	
	7.0	
Average Score	100	
	175	

# Overail Aesthetic Impact:

THE TURBINES DO NOT APPEAR TO INVADE THE ACRICULTURAL ENVIRONS
LARGELY DUE TO THEIR SHALL SCALE AND THE PERCEPTION THAT THEY

ARE ACTUALLY LOCATED ELSEWHERE BEYOND THE HILL.

NO NOTICEABLE CHANGE IN IMPACT.

Panel Member: D. Bra	ckett	
Date: 12/20/05		
Viewpoint #/79		<del></del>
Viewpoint Description:	_	
close view of a	form.	house som and several out structures
Line is irresular:	Scale i	s small, color is green to dark forour
with blue sky with	anne u	house, som and several out structures)  s amall, color is green to dark brown white; texture is fine & born is
enclosed.	,,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,	
351100/8681		
	. <u></u>	
Visual Impact		
Visual Impact	h ovieting or	aditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5
		nditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 in the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line,
scale, color, texture and form. impact from this viewpoint.	Then provi	de your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic
impact from this viewpoint.		
Landscape Component Vegetation	Contrast	Comments
	4	line, scale, color & form are not compatible
Land Use	2	Scale & fam are not compatible
Land Form	2	10 11
Viewer Activity		11 11 11 11
Water	2	"
Total +4	10.0	
Average Score	250	SEE 179 COMPARISON
<u></u> <u>-</u>	<u> </u>	PAR NEW MATTINE TOTALS
Overall Aesthetic Impact:		Creates
The Scale of the	machin	ie in the view of the most
impact, Otherwise	1 d see	little impact.
		V

Panel Member: D. BRACKETT  Date: 3/10/07	
Viewpoint # 179 Comparison	
Viewpoint Description:	
SAME AS ORIGINAL	

# **Visual Impact**

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation	1	
Land Use	1	
Land Form	1	
Viewer Activity	/	
Water		
Total		
Average Score	1	

# **Overall Aesthetic Impact:**

THERE IS NO IMPACT.

Panel Member: Acu FRIZ
Date: 12/14/05
Viewpoint #
Viewpoint Description:
Slight modie in known away from viewer position. Rover/
agriculture building surrouled by minicured four dominante al
and organie be foreground view. Middlegow at brokground ove screede
by wooden't reselection at the rear of the buildings. Typical farmhan
is subordante to the hildren in this were. Dark adors also
Visual Impact
Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line,

scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

J- 3/14/07

Landscape Compo	nent	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation		13	regetation is subardinate to theme - more so than in comparison to buildings!
Land Use		13	Reval/ast use dominant with more compromis on work the band
Land Form		13	companis on work the bud warying height of vicible turbine suggests companishing with the exploud form
Viewer Activity		13	Scale of foregrown truline suggest weren't is now suburante if scale
Water		NA	
Total	-4 40	12/0	
Average Score	10	3/5	

Overall Aesthetic Impact:

Structures limits the impact - if more tempores were in the position close to St. Environmental Standards Structures of The removal by 1656 bollowing all more of an Import on land use all viewer action. The removal of the tubries in the through all viewer actions Turbies are now boundy visible.

Walble hivel visual Assessificati				
Panel Member: RICHAI Date: DECEMBER	20 F. RILEY, RLA 15, 2005			
Viewpoint #_{79				
Viewpoint Description:				
VIEW FROM EURAL !	HIGHWAY ACRUSS MOWED LAWN TO A			
WELL MAINTAINED H	LOUSE, BARN AND SEVERAL SMALLER STRUCTURE			
A SECOND TURBINE	ONE TURBING IS VISIBLE IN CLUSE PROXIMITY VISIBLE E 15 MINIMALLY, THROUGH THE TREES BEYOND			
THE BARN,				
Visual Impact  Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.  TOPBINE SCALE AND FORM ARE ALMOST INVISIBLE CONCEALED BY THE BACKGROWN VEGETATION.				
Landscape Component Vegetation	Contrast / Comments			
, vogotation	S ARE A SHARP CONTRAST TO EXISTING VEGETATI			
Land Use	THE TURBINE FORM IS DRAMATICALLY UNIQUE AND			
Land Form	3 PAPPEARS AS A SEPARATE ELEMENT NOT ASSOCIATED WITH THE LINE AND UNIQUE TURBINE FORM OF THE			
Viewer Activity	THE IMPOSING SCALE AND AERODYNAMIC FORM  A 9 OF THE TURBINE CREATES A MAGNETIC ATTRACTI			
Water	TURBING FORM IS AN INSIGNIFICANT ELEMEN"  NA DUE TO SMALL SCALE AND LIMITED VIEW.			
Total + 4	170 TURBINE PORMI MINIMALLY DEFINABLE DUE TO DISTANCE AND VEGETATIVE SCIETENING.			
Average Score	SCALE AND TEXTURE OF TURBINE HAVE NO IM			

# **Overall Aesthetic Impact:**

WHICH DWARD THE STRUCTURES AND COMMAND ATTENTION

THE TURBING HAS NO NOTICEABLE IMPACT BECAUSE ITS SCALE IS OVER POWERED BY THE SCALE OF STRUCTURES IN THE FOREGROUND AND NATURAL VEGETATIVE SCIZEEN ALMOST COMPLETELY CONCEALS IT.

Panel Member: D. BRA							
Date: 5/1/07			<del></del>				
Viewpoint # 196							
Viewpoint Description:							
PANORAMIC VIEW.	LINE 15	HORI	EONTAL	.; sca	E 15 L	ARGE; (	COLOR
PANORAMIC VIEW.  15 BEOWN W/ WHITE (	ENOW) · SK	YVB	WE;	PEXTURE	. Is sm	<u>оотн ; F</u>	ORM-OPE
Visual Impact							
Rate the project's contrast with (strong contrast). Under communication, texture and form, impact from this viewpoint.	nents, expla	in the	reason fo	or rating fo	ocusing or	n the elem	ents of line,
Landscape Component	Contrast	Com	ments				
Vegetation	1	<del> </del>	COUTTRAS	5¢			
Land Use	1	11	н				
Land Form	1	*	71				
Viewer Activity	1	4	tı				
Water	NA						
Total	4.0						
Average Score	1.0						
Overall Aesthetic Impact: TURBINES ARE HAROLY	r VISIBL	E É	: THERE	FORE TI	<u>IERE /5</u>	LITTLE	IMPACT

Marble River Visual Assessment				
Panel Member: PAUL  Date: 1/25/07				
Viewpoint #				
Viewpoint Description:				
Foregod view orgi	nates to	m as upper clevation. All lands cape companies		
are subordinte to the	vicuer's	Position. Foregral view consists of everymen		
Vegetation that slopes	anay from	Mosition. Foregrad view consists of everyreen more viewer. The middle grows consists of		
on undeveloped valley-li	ke landfo	rm. The bookground is much the same as the		
middlesmonel.				
(strong contrast). Under comr	nents, expla	onditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 ain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, de your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic		
Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments		
Vegetation	2_	Scale contract resignable in the middlessoul.		
Land Use	2	minimal confust to wide-open		
Land Form	2	minor amount to I he and form of the existing toposparety		
Viewer Activity	2	Paraonic rieus minimally insulta,		
Water	N/A			
Total	8.0			
Average Score /4	2.0			
Overall Aesthetic Impact:				
Greatest contrast appea	rs to be	between existing vegetation in the middlessed		

Panel Member: KICHARD F. KILEY, RLA
Date: AFRIL 27, 2007
Viewpoint #_ اعناد المسلمة Viewpoint #_ اعناد المسلمة
Viewpoint Description:
SCHOL VISTA FROM ABRIAL VANTAGE POINT LOOKING ONEL
DEUSELY FORESTED HILLTOP TOWARD VALLEY BEYOUD, FLANKED
BY SURROUDDING WOODED HILLS. VISTA EXTENDS UNINTERPUPTED
TO THE FAR DISTANT HORIZON. ALL AREAS IN VIEW APPEAR TO
Be Heavily wooded.

# Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation	1	TURBING DENSITY AND FORM REPLICATE
	1	HEAVILY WOODED FORE GROWIND TRIES TOPS.
Land Use		TURBINE SCALE AT THIS GREAT DISTANCE
		HAS NO IMPACT.
Land Form	1 .	TURBING DENGITY AND FORM AT THIS GCALE
	] !	ARE OVER POWERED BY DYNAHIC NATURAL VISTA
Viewer Activity	2	TURBING TEXTURE MINIMALLY CONTRASTS ITS
Water		VAST SUPPOUNDINGS, SCALE NOT WITHSTANDING
vvale:	NA	
Total		
	5.0	
Average Score	1.25	

# **Overall Aesthetic Impact:**

ALTHOUGH -	THE TURBING CONCE	DTRATION IS H	EAVY, THE IMPACT
	DUE TO THEIR VERY		-
	G DISTANCE.		

Panel Member: D BRACKETT	
Date: 5/1/07	
Viewpoint # 205	
Viewpoint Description:	
RURAL AREA - BRUSHY	
LINE HORIZONTAL; SCALE MEDIUM; COLOR BROW	NEWHITE SHOW) - BLUE SEY;
TEXTURE SMOOTH; FORM - OPEN.	
<del></del>	

# Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation	4,5	CONTRAGT - LINE, SCALE, TEXTURE, FORM  COLOR SOMEWHAT COMPATIBLE
Land Use	2.5	CONTRAST - TEXTURE  COMPATIBLE - LINE, SCALE, COLOR, FORM  CONTRAST - ALL
Land Form	5	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Viewer Activity	2.5	COMPATIBLE - LINE, SCALE, COLOR, FORM
Water	NA	
Total	14,50	
Average Score	3.63	

# **Overall Aesthetic Impact:**

BECAUSE	æ	SHRUBY	CHARACTER	4	FOWER	POLES! THIS	VIEW IS	ACCEPTABLE
				•		,,,		
								<del></del>

	Marble Ri	iver Visual Assessment		
Panel Member: PAW FRITE  Date: 4/25/07				
Viewpoint # 205				
Viewpoint Description:				
Foregrond View Consis	its of le	und brushland alliched by a trail and		
introped intermittably b	y willy-	features. Middlewood is non-descript or not visible.		
Brokgnowid is not visible		fortues. Middlewood is non-descript or not visible.		
	<u>_</u>			
Visual Impact  Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.				
Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments		
Vegetation	3	The volor, texture, al Scale of textubries Contract the foregreen vegetation.		
Land Use	2	the large is minimally imported by two turbons with this view factoris relatively level and turbonis		
Land Form	2	taration is relatively level and trovis		
Viewer Activity	3	The turbice add more down and contrast in the view.		
Water	4/4			
Total	10.0			
Average Score	2.50			
Overall Aesthetic Impact:  The workest is accentrated because the foreground trail leads the				
viewer's eye toward the one tubic. The foregond scale of the whility				
poles at other man-made objects minimus to vegetation controst,				

Panel Member: RICHARD F. RILEY, RLA
Date: A PRIL 27, 2007
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Viewpoint #_ 205
Viewpoint Description:
VIEW DOWN STRAIGHT, CLEARED TRAIL THROUGH DENSE
SCRUB GROWTH. ON THE LEFT, A SINGLE ROW OF
EQUALLY SPACED UTILITY POLES SUPPORTING OVERHEAD
WIRES EXTEND TO THE VANISHING POINT.

# Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments	
Vegetation		TURBINE SCALE of TEXTURE DRAMAT	ICALLY
	3	CONTRAST VEIGETATION BUT WITH SCULP	TURE QUALITY.
Land Use	١,	TURBING FORM APPEARS AS THE DEP	
		ELEMENT, THE TRAIL FOCAL POINT.	
Land Form	ا ء	TURBINE FORM + SCALE AT THIS DISTA	
	2	PAR LESS IMPACT THAN ADJACENT UTIL	
Viewer Activity	١ ភ	TURBINE FORM & COLOR ARE EYE CAT	
	2	FAR HORE APPEAULS THAN UTILITY F	PLES.
Water	NA		
Total			
	8,0		
Average Score	2.0		

# Overall Aesthetic Impact:

THE CENTRALLY LOCATED TURBING APPEARS TO BE THE LOCALAL
TERMINUS OF THE TRAIL, PROVIDING A FOCAL POINT. THE
SECOND TURBING SEENS IRRELEVANT IN THIS VIEW. THEIR

SCULPTURAL QUALITIES DISTRACT VIEWER FROM LARGER UTILITY POLES, Wayr-fs-002\standards\Environmental Standards\Standard Forms\Visual Impact Forms\Project Specific VIA Forms\Archival\Marble River Visual Assessment Form.doc

	Marble R	iver Visual Assessment	
Panel Member: D, BRACK	CETT		
Date: <u>5/1/07</u>			
Viewpoint #		<del></del> _	
Viewpoint Description:			
FURROPOULD FARM F	D. OR M	EADOW; BACK GROUND WOUDED.	
		EDIUM; COLOR BEAUL & WHITE(SHOW)	+BLUE
SKY TEXTURE FINE		,	
<del></del>	•		
Visual Impact			
		onditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to	
		ain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of the project's aesthe	
impact from this viewpoint.	men provi	de your overan assessment of the project's aesthe	uc
,			
Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments	
Vegetation	1	ALL COM PATIBLE	
Land Use	· .		

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation	1	ALL COM PATIBLE
Land Use	1	10 11
Land Form	1	µ "
Viewer Activity	1	y 11
Water	NA	
Total	4.0	
Average Score /4	1.0	

# **Overall Aesthetic Impact:**

TURPINES NOT	VERY	VISIBLE	ALSO	COLOR E	TEXTURE	ARE VERY	COMPATIBLE
WITH WOODED				1		•	
							<u> </u>

	Marble River Visual Assessment				
•	Panel Member: FAW FRIZ				
Date: 4/25/07	<u>.</u> _				
Viewpoint #207					
Viewpoint Description:					
Freynoll icu Consists	of open.	field and woodland type vegetation. It form- from the woodlan. The lastform has a and bookground are not visible from this			
type force separates tu	foud f	mon the woodlan. The latter hos a			
mhor roll to it. The m	nddkynal	and bookground are not visible from this			
view point.					
•					
		-			
Visual Impact					
		anditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5			
		tin the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, de your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic			
impact from this viewpoint.	, p	, , , , , , ,			
Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments			
Vegetation	- 1	primed to moved. Even less when I car out occurs on foregood vegetation.			
Land Use		Freshed character penans uncharged.			
Land Form	- (	No change			
Viewer Activity	1	No charge			
Water	M/A				
Total	4.0				
Average Score /4	1.0				
Overall Aesthetic Impact:					
Minimal to non-Import :- Presence of turbins only add more depter to					
to view at a very stight instance					



Panel Member: KICHARD F. RILEY, RLA
Date: APRIL 27, 2007
Viewpoint #_ZO7
Viewpoint Description:
VIEW ACROSS SHOW COVERED PASTURE BOUNDED BY WIRE
FEDCE: BEYOND THE FEDCE IS SPARCE SCRUB GROWTH IN
THE NEAD GROUND AND DENCE WOODS BEYOND.

#### Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation		TURBINE TEXTURE IS CONSISTENT WITH
		TREE TOP TWIGERY THRU WHICH IT CAN BE SEEN.
Land Use		TURBINE SCALE AND COLOR AGAINST THE SKY
		MAKE THEN DIFFICULT TO SEE.
Land Form		TURBINE SCALE AND TEXTURE ARE CONCEAL
	j	THEM IN THE TREE TOPS TURBINES ARE AN INSIGNIFICANT ELEMENT DUE
Viewer Activity		TURBINES ARE AN INSIGNIFICANT ELEMENT DUE
·	í	TO COIXEALMENT BY EXISTING VEGETATION.
Water		
	NA	
Total	4.0	
	7.0	
Average Score	1.0	
1 / T	1.0	

# Overall Aesthetic Impact:

TURBINE FORM IS ALL BUT CONCEALED IN THE TREE TOP
TWIGERY. THE MINIMAL TURBINE CONCENTRATION AND
SCALE COMBINED WITH CONCEALMENT MAKE THEM ALL BUT
INVISIBLE.

\\syr-fs-002\standards\Environmental Standards\Standard Forms\Visual Impact Forms\Project Specific VIA Forms\Archival\Marble River Visual Assessment Form.doc

Panel Wember: D. CKNCCETT
Date: 5/17/07
Viewpoint #_3 - MOTION SIM.
Viewpoint Description:
RURAL LANDSCAPE WITH SOME AG. LAND USE. HORIZON IS FLAT, FOREGROUND IS AG. CULTIVATION, MID & BACKGROUND
LINE - HORIZONTAL; LARGE SCALE; COLOR-GREEN W/BROWN FORANGE, SKY-BLUE,
TEXTILE IS SMOOTH! FORM IS WIDE-OPEN!

# Visual Impact

nal Manuham D BRACKETT

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation	5	LINE, SCALE, COLOR, TEXTURE & FORM
Land Use		LINE, SCALE TEXTURE ARE NOT COMPATIBLE
Land Form	2	SCALE FORM ARE NOT COMPATIBLE
Viewer Activity	<del>-  </del>	LINE COLOR & TEXTURE ARE LINE, SCALE, & FORM & COLOR REE NOT
Water	2_	COMPATIBLE TEXTURE IS
Total		
14	12	
Average Score	3.3	

Overall Aesthetic Impact:

SCALE & COLOR ARE MAJOR IMPACTS. THE ME FIRST IMPACT IS NOW! HOWEVER, WITH THE # OF MACHINES, THE VIEW SEEMS CONSISTANT.

Panel Member:	PAUL FRIE		
Date:	21,2007		
Viewpoint #_3	- with motion	similation	

# **Viewpoint Description:**

Foreground includes open Fields cloped downward towards a rural road. Two recidential buildings and associated structures are located in the foregred as well. The middle ground consists of woodland vesetation interspersed with agricultural Fields. Because the vicuous perspective is upland, the view is wide open. Dockgroul extude long roug as landscape & very Rot.

### Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments	
Vegetation	4	significant contrast in height, scale, and	
Land Use	4	The number of turbines contributes to a somewhat significant additional land use addition	íon .
Land Form	3	had form remains dominant	
Viewer Activity	4	Expose of tubine alonges perception of activity on the land	
Water	N/A	,	
Total /4	19.0		
Average Score	3,75		

Overall Aesthetic Impact:

The most significant charge is the number of tentions on the landscape.

The number all scale contrast of the tentions in the middlessend afters

the land use. The charge is less significant in the bookground as the movement and scale contrast is kess perceptible.

Panel Member: RICHARD	F	RILEY.	RLA
Date: May 18, 2007		3	

Viewpoint # 3 WITH MOTION SIMULATION

Viewpoint Description: Scenic VISTA OF ROLLING WOODED AGRICULTURAL AREA, SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCES AND BARN STRUCTURE IN THE FOREGROUND, TREED UNDULATING TERRAIN VISIBLE IN THE BACKGROUND EXTENDING TO THE HORIZON.

#### Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

VISUAL IMPACTS SAME AS WITH STILL PHOTO COMMENTS.

VISUAL IMPACTS SAN	1E AS	WITH STILL	PHOTO	COMMENTS.
Landscape Component	Contrast			
Vegetation				
Land Use				
Land Form				
Viewer Activity				
Water				
Total				
Average Score				

Overall Aesthetic Impact: TURBIDE CONCENTRATION AND DISTRIBUTION COMPLIMENT THE VISTA. ADDED ELEMENT OF MOTION PROVIDES VITALITY TO STILL PHOTOS WHERE VIEWER ONLY ANTICIPATED MOTION.

1 2101 110111201
Date: 5/17/07
Viewpoint #_36 - MOTION SIM
Viewpoint Description:  RURAL LANDSCAPE WITH HIPIZONTAL HORIZON.
LINE IS ELAT SCALE IS MEDIUM CALOR - GREEN & WITH ORANGE/REDS - SKY

BLUE WITH WHITE CLOUDS; TEXTURE - SMOOTH; FORM-OPEN

#### Visual Impact

Panel Member: D PRACETT

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation	1 _	LINE, SCALE, COLOR, TEXTURE & FORM ARE NIT COMPATIBLE LINE, SCALE, COLOR, TEXTURE & FORM
	4.5	FORM ARE NIT COMPATIBLE
Land Use		LIKE, SCALE, COLOR, TEXTURE & FORM
	4.5	NOT COMPATIBLE
Land Form	ـــ د	,
	4.5	SAME
Viewer Activity		
	4.5	SAME
Water		
Total	18	
14	10	
Average Score	,, ,	
	<u> </u>	

**Overall Aesthetic Impact:** 

THE MACHINES IN THE FOREGROUND CAUSE THE ORGATEST IMPACT AS RESULT OF SCALE & MOVEMENT.

Panel Membe	er:	PAUL	FRIRE	
Date:	MAY ?	4,200	⁄ት	<del>_</del>
Viewpoint #_	36	with	COSTOM.	Simulation

#### Viewpoint Description:

Foreground consists of an open, level field that includes intermittant helgerous and stonewalls. The middle ground consists of woodland vogetation on minor rolling toposrady. The bockground is mostly screened by foresound and middle ground vegetation. The bockground appears to consist of rolling lankform and woodlands.

## Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation	4	The foreground turbines significant contrast to foreground vegetation.
Land Use	3	The min of tubins and movement adds a simewion to the landscape
Land Form	2	No significant about to the landscape form.
Viewer Activity	3	The movement at trickings of he tubing locations in the middleg and provides contest
Water	NA	J .
Total	12.0	
Average Score	3.0	

Overall Aesthetic Impact:

The tobinis in the middlessent partially bland in with the sky, but the overlap of tubries also creates a serve of disorganization that is somewhat disconcerning to the victure. The foregrand tubers correct he scale at texture of the forestul vegetation.

Panel Member: RICHARD F. RILEY, RLA

Date: May 18, 2007

Viewpoint # 36 WITH MOTION SIMULATION

Viewpoint Description: VIEW ACROSS PASTURES SEPARATED BY
SPARCE HEDGE ZOW WITH DEPSE WOODS BEYOUD. THE FAR
HORIZON IS SLIGHTLY VISIBLE ABOVE DISTANT TREE TOPS.

#### Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation	5	SAME AS STILL PHOTO
Land Use	4	DISTANT TORRING SCALE IS COMPATIBLE. LARGE
Land Form	3	CROUDD DIAIN BY NEAD CONTACT. SCALE + DEDSTY OF DISTANT TORBINES REFLECTS LANDER FORM. CLOSEST TURBINES IN MOTION WI SHADOWS OF MAGTS ADD DYNAMIC QUAUTY TO SCULPTURAL FORM.
Viewer Activity	5	SAME AS STILL PHOTO
Water	NA	
Total	17.0	
Average Score	4-2-	

Overall Aesthetic Impact: The ADDED DYNAMICS OF MOTION CAUSES
TURBINES IN CLOSE PROXIMITY TO IMPART AN IMPOSING
FEELING, MORE SO THAN IN STILL PHOTO, TURBINES IN
THE DISTANCE ARE A SIGNIFICANT ELEMENT BUT MUCH
LESS IMPOSING.

Date:	5/7/07				
Viewpoint :	# <u>203</u>	(TRANSMICEION !	LWES)		
Viewpoint i	Description	:	TON LAIDE	Aroes	
LINE - HO	U KOAD IL GRIBOUTHL	i rupal/agricus ; Scale - mediu	M; COLOR- WHITE	e (enow), brown	4 BLUE SKY
TEXTODE -	FINE : F	MEM- LINEAR	•		•

### Visual Impact

Panel Member: DBRACKETT

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation	3	COLOR TEXTURE & FORM ARE COM PATIBLE LINE & SCALE ARE NOT
Land Use	3	COLOR TEXTURE & FORM ARE COM PATIBLE LINE & SCALE ARE NOT COLOR TEXTURE & FORM ARE COMPATIBLE LINE & SCALE ARE NOT
Land Form	3	SAME AS ABONE
Viewer Activity	2	SCALE IS NOT COMPATIBLE
Water	NA	, .
Total //f	11	
Average Score	2.75	

# **Overall Aesthetic Impact:**

COLOR & TEXTORE ARE COMPATIBLE, FORM & LINE ARE SOMEWHAT
COMPATIBLE BECAUSE OF EXISTING RUES ALONG THE POAD. SCALE IS NOT COMPATTIBLE

DAME FOAT

Panel Member: Filos his (Co	
Date: MAY 10, 2007	
Viewpoint #	<del></del>
Viewpoint Description:	and feeling field.
Viewpoint Description:  The foreground consists of a mend high form force are located in The fore showe	d. A mature tree line on
the viewer's left superates the torcom	and riddle stond spore.
The middle soul is partially acresned by The bookground view is not visible.	by toregrow vegetatu.
1- wat from 12 12	

### Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation	2	Minor contrast. Pole in foregrand is
Land Use	2	Larger extent of whites within famous area somewhat wontresting.
Land Form	1	no dany
Viewer Activity	2	Slight change of focus with addition of horizontal conductors
Water	Ala	
Total /4-	7	
Average Score	1.75	

# **Overall Aesthetic Impact:**

The additions of the wires crossing the view and the new pole in the forestand import the view with more stanforme. If this foregood addition was not naude all other imports would be milital due to open little or no scale contrast between the Boles and adjacent voystation.

Panel Member: RICHARD F. RILEY	RLA
Date: MAY 14, 2007	
Viewpoint # 203	

#### **Viewpoint Description:**

VIEW ALONG Z-LANE COUDTRY ROAD FLANKED BY A ROW OF UTILITY POLES ON ONE SIDE, SCRUB GROWTH AND A WIDE FENCED PASTURE ON THE OPPOSITE SIDE. A HOUSE AND BARN ARE VISIBLE BEYOND THE PASTURE.

#### Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments	]
Vegetation		TRANSMISSION POLES CONSISTENT WITH EX	UTILITY POLE
_	1 3	LINE OF ABOLDANT OVERHEAD WIRES CONTR	<i>!</i>
Land Use		TRANSMISSION SYSTEM FORM IS CONSISTENT	WITH TYPICA
	2	UTILITY SERVICES	
Land Form		FORM OF TRANSMISSION SYSTEM WITH	STRUPE
	3	VERTICAL THORIZOUTAL ELEMENTS CONTRAG	TS LAND FOR
Viewer Activity		FORM AND TEXTURE OF TRANSMISSION	SYSTEM
	3	COMMAND VIEWER'S ATTENTION.	
Water		· •	1
	NA		
Total			
14	11		
Average Score	175		

#### **Overall Aesthetic Impact:**

THE MAGNITUDE OF THE TRANSMISSION SYSTEM THAT IS VISIBLE FROM THIS VANTAGE POINT IS ITS PREDOMINATE HEGATIVE ATTRIBUTE. POLES AND OVERHEAD WIRES IN MODERATION ARE PART OF MOST LANDSCAPES.

Panel Member: D. BRACKETT				
Date: <u>5/7/07</u>				
,	,			
Viewpoint #_	210	(TRANSMISSION LINE)		

Viewpoint Description:

AG. LAND IN FOREGROUND WITH WOOD BACK OFFIUND. LINE - HORIZONTAL; SCALE IS MEDIUM; COLOR-WHITE (SNOW), BROWN & BLUE SKY; TEXTURE - MEDIUM; FORM - FLAT OPEN LAND DEFINED BY WOODS IN BACK GROUND.

#### Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation	5	NOTHING COMPATIBLE
Land Use	5	<i>II</i>
Land Form	5	// "
Viewer Activity	4	FORM IS COMPATIBLE
Water		
Total /4	19.0	
Average Score	4.75	

**Overall Aesthetic Impact:** 

THE TRANSMISSION LINES ARE COMPATIBLE. THE TURBINE MAKES THIS INCOMPATIBLE

# Marble River Visual Assessment PAUL FRIRE Panel Member: MAY 10, 2007

210 Viewpoint #\_\_\_\_

#### Viewpoint Description:

Foresrond view consists of open, that agricultual land edged by nature vesetation. View oppears to be from a sured hydroxy. Middlegroul and bookground characterstris are not visible.

#### Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation	3	Surrouding residention as does the whole how
Land Use	3	The charge to the forgood poor some
Land Form	2_	No significant imposed
Viewer Activity	2_	The shuther add here complexity to the view
Water	N/A	
Total /s;	10	
Average Score	2,5	

# Overall Aesthetic Impact:

The whility police at he left code of the siew and the word the bive at the right side of the view contract existing vegetation at interrupt the horizon line providing protects on the view.

Panel Member:	21CHARD	F. RILEY	RLA
Date: MAY 14	, 2007	•	
Viewpoint # <u>21</u>	٥		

#### **Viewpoint Description:**

VIEW FROM ROAD SHOULDER ACROSS AGRICULTURAL FIELD
TOWARD DENSE WOODS. A SMALL BUILDING OF UNDETERMINED
USE IS VISIBLE AT THE EDGE OF THE WOODS.

#### Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation		LINE OF TRANSMISSION SYSTEM IS CONSISTENT WITH TREE
_	<b>5</b> 5-	FORM 4 SCALE OF TURBINE STRONGLY CONTRASTS.
Land Use	2-	LINE & SCALE OF TRANSMISSION SYSTEM AND TURBING
	Z 3	TRANSHISSION SYSTEM SCALE + COLOR ARE CONSISTENT W/ TEA
Land Form	1-	TRANSHISSION SYSTEM SCALE + COLOR ARE CONSISTENT WY TER
	3 4-	TURBING FORM AND SCALE ARE IMPOSING SCALE AND TEXTORE OF TRANS. SYSTEM BLEND WITH TREE
Viewer Activity	1-	SCALE AND TEXTORE OF TRANS. SYSTEM ISLEND WITH TREE
	4 5-	TURBINE SCALE AND FORM OVERPOWER VIEW, BUT W
Water		SOME SCULPTURAL QUALITIES
	ZA	
Total	IN.	
14	154	
Average Score	0.1	
	3,5	

#### **Overall Aesthetic Impact:**

THE TRANSMISSION SYSTEM SCALE, COLOR AND TEXTURE BLEND WITH BACKGROUND WOODS WITH VERY LITTLE VISUAL IMPACT. THE TURBINE SCALE AND FORM IN CONTRAST TO THE EXISTING BUILDING, AND THE TURBINE LINE AND FORM IN CONTRAST TO THE EXISTING WOODS ARE DRAMATIC.

Tatier Member 157 Geter Cr.
Date: 5/7/07
Viewpoint # 212 - (Transmission Lines)
Viewpoint Description:  ALONG PURAL RD. WOODED ON THE LEFT WITH EXETING POWER LINE & POLES FLONG THE RD.  LINE - GENERALLY HORIZONTAL W/ CURVE IN RD. SIGNIFICANT; SCALE-SMA.  COLOR-GRAY W/ BLUE SKY; TEXTURE-FINE; FORM-LINEAR W/ ENCLOSURE  ON LEFT

# **Visual Impact**

Panel Member: D. PRACKETT

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation	1	LINE, SCALE, COLOR, TEXTURE EFURM ARE COMPANBLE
Land Use	1	SAME
Land Form	1	SAME
Viewer Activity	1	SAME
Water		
Total /1	4	
Average Score	1.0	

# **Overall Aesthetic Impact:**

SINCE THE EXIST. POLES & WIRES ARE SKANIFICANT ISEE NO MAJOR CHANGE

# Marble River Visual Assessment Panel Member: ALL FRIRE Date: MAY 10, 2007 Viewpoint # 212 Viewpoint Description: The forested view dominants the visibility of the view. The foregreen concists at a result highway, whility poles/wires,

Ord maker woodland vegetaken.

#### Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation	2	Here's to her bales somewhat
		an hochen
Land Use	1	no mojer chan / contract
Land Form	1	No sisnificant charge / contrast
Viewer Activity	2	complexity in view moreoned by
Water	MA	
Total /4	6	
Average Score	1.5	

**Overall Aesthetic Impact:** 

No assisticat impact to be forestand view, although the new course as pour recover the comprexity of the road view.

Panel Membe				RLA	
Date: MAY	14,	2007	 		
Viewpoint #_	212				

#### **Viewpoint Description:**

VIEW ALONG A CURVING SECTION OF RURAL HIGHWAY
FLANKED BY DENSE WOODS ON THE PAR SIDE, A SINGLE
LINE OF UTILITY POLES EXTEND ALONG THE FAR SIDE OF
THE ROADWAY.

#### Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation		TRANSMISSION SYSTEM FORM IS CONSISTENT WITH EX.
	3	UTILITY POLES BUT INCREASES VOLUME!
Land Use	١,	TRANSMISSION SYSTEM SCALE AND LINE AIRE
		CONSISTENT WITH EXISTING UTILITY LINES,
Land Form		TRANSMISSION SYSTEM COLOR AND FORM OFFER
<u></u>	2	OPLY A MINOR CONTRAST TO THE WOODS.
Viewer Activity	_	THE TEXTURE OF MALLY ADDITIONAL OVERHEAD WIRES
	3	DRAWS ATTENTION TO THE TRANSMISSION SYSTEM.
Water		
	NA	
Total /4	9	
	/	
Average Score	1226	
	\ \Psi \ \Psi \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	

# **Overall Aesthetic Impact:**

THE ADDITIONAL UTILITY POLES ARE COPSISTEDT WITH EXISTING POLES.
THE PRESENCE OF HUMEROUS INSULATORS ON THE POLES COMBINED WITH SUBSTANTIALLY INCREASED NUMBER OF OVERHEAD WIRES EXERT ONLY A MODERATE CONTRAST. THIS LIMITED MEW OF THE TRANSMISSION SYSTEM MINIMIZES ITS ACTUAL CONTRAST.

Panel Member: D. BRACKETT
Date: 5/7/07
Viewpoint # 217 (TRANSMISSION LINES)
Viewpoint Description:  /N EXISTING TRANSMISSION LINE CORPLDOR. LINE-HOUSENTAL;  SCARE-MEDIUM; COLOR-WHITE (SNOW), BROWN & BLUE SKY; TEXTURE.  FINE; FORM-ENCLOSED/LINEAR

#### Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation	5	NOTHING COMPATIBLE
Land Use	3	TIEXTURE & FORM COMPATIBLE LINE, COLOR & SCALE ARELIOT
Land Form	5	' '
Viewer Activity	3	NOTHING COMPATIBLE TEXTURE & FORM COMPATIBLE LINE, COLOR & SCALE ARENOT
Water		
Total //	16	
Average Score	4.0	

# Overall Aesthetic Impact:

THE OULY THING THAT MAKES THIS COMPATIBLE IS THAT IT IS LOCATE WITHIN AN EXIST. TRANSMISSION LINE CORRITOR

# Panel Member: PAUL FRITZ MAY 10, 2007 Viewpoint #\_\_\_\_

#### Viewpoint Description:

Foregrand is only visible. Foregrand consists of an open field edged by nature woodland regetation. Utility wires alapole structure dominate the overhead plane in this spoce.

Marble River Visual Assessment

#### Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation	4	voodland easy significantly aftered
Land Use	4	Use is more industrial trans rural
Land Form	2	perdescript landform personne relatively undranged Addition of structures dominate attention
Viewer Activity	4	Addition of structures dominate attation of viewer
Water	NA	
Total //	14	
Average Score	3.5	

# Overall Aesthetic Impact:

The impact or charge is sisnificent in this view because the character in use changes from more much to more industrial. There is also a contrast in comparison to regulation. The expense of appending contrast in comparison to regulation.

	er: <u>Richard</u> 14, 2007	F. RILEY,	PLA
Viewpoint #_	217		·

#### **Viewpoint Description:**

VIEW ACROSS AGRICULTURAL FIELD TOWARD DENSE WOODED AREA, EXISTING TRANSMISSION LINE EXTENDS ALONG THE WOODS EDGE WITH ONE TRANSMISSION TOWER VISIBLE.

#### Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments	
Vegetation		FORM AND SCALE OF SUBSTATION AND	CLOSEST
	<u>5</u>	TURBING OVERPOWER EXIST, VEGET	ATION
Land Use		SCALE OF SUBSTATION AND CLOSEST	TURBINE
	5	REPLACE THE EXISTING LAND USE.	
Land Form		SCALE AND TEXTURE OF SUBSTATION	WD CLOSEST
	5	TURBINE TOTALLY DOMINATE THE LAN	od Form.
Viewer Activity		TORM, SCALE AND TEXTURE OF SUBSTAT	DUA NOI
	5	CLOSEST TURBING COMMAND VIEWERS F	ull attentioi
Water			
	_ NA		
Total	0.0		
/+	20		
Average Score	5		
	<u> </u>		

# **Overall Aesthetic Impact:**

THE MAGNITUDE OF THE SUBSTATION COUPLED WITH THE HUGE SCALE OF THE CLOSEST TURBINE COMPLETELY DOMINATE THE LANDSCAPE, REPLACING A LARGE AREA OF WOODS.

Panel Member: D. Brac	cett	<del></del>
Viewpoint # Evening / Ni	ghttime	Photos - Fenner, NY
Viewpoint Description:		
Distant View acro	oss oper	n landscape
		<del></del>
,		
w		
(strong contrast). Under comn	nents, expla	inditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 in the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, de your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic
Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation		
Land Use		
Land Form		
Viewer Activity		
Water		
Total		
Average Score		
Overall Aesthetic Impact: Estly evening until d	usk - th	pere is negligible impact
dusk to dark - there	: 15 an	impact but not significant from
Early evening until dusk - there is negligible impact dusk to dark - there is an impact but not significant from this view point. Turbines are more visible at dark since they have FAA lighting ator near the top of the Eurbine.		

# carland.

# **Marble River Visual Assessment**

Panel Member: PAW  Date: 01.13.06	FRIZ	
Viewpoint #		<del></del>
Viewpoint Description:		
-		
		. \
Visual Impact  Rate the project's contrast with	n existina co	nditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5
(strong contrast). Under comm	nents, expla	in the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, de your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic
Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation		
Land Use		
Land Form		
Viewer Activity		
Water		
Total		
Average Score		
Overall Aesthetic Impact:		
At nighttime The only on the tops of the tu	bies 7	a irregular pattern of the lighting
Suggests some continu	ity with	the regal bustom. The syndron ord
SYNCHONITION THE SNAKES SHENVIRORMENTAL Standard STANDARD FORMSNA ELL AM UT WOST OF THE	isual Impact Form	in commission to it the light were not in part is from views where the viewer can as Project Specific VIA Forms Marble River Visual Assessment Form. doc rather than Just a few.

Panel Member: RICHARD F. RILEY
Date: JANUARY 20, 2006
Viewpoint #_ Figure 18
Viewpoint Description:
VIEW OF LIGHTED TURBINES ALONG THE HORIZON AGAINST THE
SKY, EXTENDING FROM SUNDOWN TO LATE EYEHING.

#### Visual Impact

Rate the project's contrast with existing conditions on a scale of 1 (completely compatible) to 5 (strong contrast). Under comments, explain the reason for rating focusing on the elements of line, scale, color, texture and form. Then provide your overall assessment of the project's aesthetic impact from this viewpoint.

Landscape Component	Contrast	Comments
Vegetation		
Land Use		
Land Form		
Viewer Activity		
Water		
Total		
Average Score		

# **Overall Aesthetic Impact:**

LIGHT LEVEL AT SOLDOWN PERMITS ONLY MINIMAL VIEW OF TURBINES BUT NO LIGHTING. PROGRESSING INTO EVENING, ONLY LIGHTS ARE VISIBLE, APPEARING WITH THE SAME APPROXIMATE INTENSITY AS THE AVERAGE STAR IN THE EVENING SKY.