617.20 Appendix A

State Environmental Quality Review FULL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM

Purpose: The full EAF is designed to help applicants and agencies determine, in an orderly manner, whether a project or action may be significant. The question of whether an action may be significant is not always easy to answer. Frequently, there are aspects of a project that are subjective or unmeasurable. It is also understood that those who determine significance may have little or no formal knowledge of the environment or may not be technically expert in environmental analysis. In addition, many who have knowledge in one particular area may not be aware of the broader concerns affecting the question of significance.

The full EAF is intended to provide a method whereby applicants and agencies can be assured that the determination process has been orderly, comprehensive in nature, yet flexible enough to allow introduction of information to fit a project or action.

Full EAF Components: The full EAF is comprised of three parts:

- Part 1: Provides objective data and information about a given project and its site. By identifying basic project data, it assists a reviewer in the analysis that takes place in Parts 2 and 3.
- Part 2: Focuses on identifying the range of possible impacts that may occur from a project or action. It provides guidance as to whether an impact is likely to be considered small to moderate or whether it is a potentially-large impact. The form also identifies whether an impact can be mitigated or reduced.
- Part 3: If any impact in Part 2 is identified as potentially-large, then Part 3 is used to evaluate whether or not the impact is actually important.

THIS AREA FOR LEAD AGENCY USE ONLY

DETERMINATION OF SIGNIFICANCE -- Type 1 and Unlisted Actions

| Upon re | view of th | ons of EAF completed for this project: ne information recorded on this EAF (Parts 1 and 2 the magnitude and importance of each impact, it is | | | | | |
|--|--|--|------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | A. The project will not result in any large and important impact(s) and, therefore, is one which will not have a significant impact on the environment, therefore a negative declaration will be prepared. | | | | | | |
| B. Although the project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect for this Unlisted Action because the mitigation measures described in PART 3 have been required, therefor a CONDITIONED negative declaration will be prepared.* | | | | | | | |
| | C. The project may result in one or more large and important impacts that may have a significant impact or environment, therefore a positive declaration will be prepared. | | | | | | |
| | *A Cond | ditioned Negative Declaration is only valid for Unli | sted Actions | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | 9 | Name of | Action | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | Name of Lea | ad Agency | Page 20 | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Print or | Type Nar | me of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency | Title of Responsib | ole Officer | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | 10 | | | | |
| Signatu | re of Res | ponsible Officer in Lead Agency | Signature of Preparent | arer (If different from responsible officer) | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| bsite | | Da | ie | | | | |

Page 1

we

PART 1--PROJECT INFORMATION Prepared by Project Sponsor

NOTICE: This document is designed to assist in determining whether the action proposed may have a significant effect on the environment. Please complete the entire form, Parts A through E. Answers to these questions will be considered as part of the application for approval and may be subject to further verification and public review. Provide any additional information you believe will be needed to complete Parts 2 and 3.

It is expected that completion of the full EAF will be dependent on information currently available and will not involve new studies, research or investigation. If information requiring such additional work is unavailable, so indicate and specify each instance.

| Name of Action Marble River Wind Farm - Project Modification | w ¹¹ | |
|--|---------------------|-----------------------|
| Location of Action (include Street Address, Municipality and County) | | |
| Towns of Clinton and Ellenburg, Clinton County, New York | , | * |
| Name of Applicant/Sponsor Marble River, LLC c/o Daniel Fitzgera | ld, Project Manager | |
| Address 52 James Street, Fourth Floor | ×1 | 8 |
| City / PO Albany | State NY | Zip Code <u>12207</u> |
| Business Telephone (518) 426-1650 | | |
| | | |
| Name of Owner (if different) | | - P |
| Address | | |
| City / PO | State | Zip Code |
| Business Telephone | | |

Description of Action:

Marble River, LLC intends to modify its proposed wind-powered electric generating Project in the Towns of Clinton and Ellenburg in Clinton County, New York. The Towns of Ellenburg and Clinton, acting as joint lead agencies conducted a comprehensive SEQRA review of the Project. On April 15, 2008 The Towns of Clinton and Ellenburg issued a Joint Statement of Findings as required by SEQRA, concluding among other things that the Project was "...one that avoids or minimizes adverse environmental impacts to the maximum extent practicable...".

The Modifications to the approved project which are the subject of this subsequent SEQRA analysis include the following:

- A reduction in the number of turbines from 109 to 74,

- An increase in the overall height of the turbine from 407 to 492 feet,

- A change in the model of turbine from a Suzlon S88 with a capacity of 2.1 megawatts (MW) to a Vestas V112 which has a capacity of 3.0 MW,
- A minor modification of the location of Turbines 91, 96S, 4A, 50, 56, and 161,

- A decrease in the length of proposed access roads,

- A decrease in the length of buried electrical collection lines and minor modifications in the routing of these lines and,

- The removal of any overhead electrical lines.

More specifically, the size of the project area has decreased from 17,000 acres to approximately 11,500 acres. As noted above, the project will now include up to 74 turbines with 58 turbines proposed in the Town of Clinton and 16 turbines proposed within the Town of Ellenburg (reduced from the former 109 turbines). A modified turbine model is proposed and will now be a Vestas V112 turbine with a generating capacity of 3.0 megawatts. Each tower will now include a 112-meter (367-foot) diameter, three bladed rotor mounted on a 94-meter (308 feet) tall steel pole tower for a total maximum height of 150 meters (492 feet).

Three 94-meter tall, self supporting (unguyed) meteorological towers will also be installed. (these were considered and approved under the prior SEQRA analysis and are not proposed to be modified and therefore need not be subject to further SEQRA review)

Other project infrastructure includes approximately 19 miles of gravel access road, and 38 miles of underground electric line. This is a reduction from the 41 miles of gravel access road and 55 miles of electric line previously proposed.

As previously proposed, the point of interconnection station, approximately 190 X 345 feet in size is proposed to be located adjacent to the New York Power Authority (NYPA) 230 kV transmission line in the Town of Clinton. Neighboring this station, Marble River, LLC proposes to construct two 34.5 kV collector stations (each approximately 187 feet X 179 feet) to accommodate the project's electrical system (as previously proposed). As no modifications are proposed regarding these previously approved components they need not be subject to further SEQRA review.

Please Complete Each Question--Indicate N.A. if not applicable

A. SITE DESCRIPTION Physical setting of overall project, both developed and undeveloped areas. 1. Present Land Use: Urban Industrial Commercial Residential (suburban) Rural (non-farm) Forest ✓ Agriculture Other Total acreage of project area: ____11,500 acres. APPROXIMATE ACREAGE PRESENTLY AFTER COMPLETION Meadow or Brushland (Non-agricultural) 1,500 acres 1,492 acres Forested 7,100 acres 7,072 acres Agricultural (Includes orchards, cropland, pasture, etc.) 2,508 acres 2,550 acres Wetland (Freshwater or tidal as per Articles 24,25 of ECL) 4,775 acres 4,775 acres Water Surface Area 150 acres ____150_ acres Unvegetated (Rock, earth or fill) 0 acres 0 acres Roads, buildings and other paved surfaces 200 acres 278 acres Other (Indicate type) ____ acres What is predominant soil type(s) on project site? _____ Moderately well drained ___35 % of site. a. Soil drainage: Well drained 15 % of site ✓ Poorly drained ___50 % of site b. If any agricultural land is involved, how many acres of soil are classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System? 2,000 acres (see 1 NYCRR 370). Are there bedrock outcroppings on project site? ■ Yes What is depth to bedrock ______0-6 (in feet) 5. Approximate percentage of proposed project site with slopes: 0-10% 95% ✓ 10-15% <u>5</u>% ✓ 15% or greater <1 % 6. Is project substantially contiguous to, or contain a building, site, or district, listed on the State or National Registers of Historic Places? 7. Is project substantially contiguous to a site listed on the Register of National Natural Landmarks?

What is the depth of the water table? >3 (in feet)

Is site located over a primary, principal, or sole source aquifer?

10. Do hunting, fishing or shell fishing opportunities presently exist in the project area?

| | Correspondence from USFWS (April 15, 2004 and Oct. 20, 2005), NYSNHP (observation. | March 9, 2004 | and Nov. 30, | 2005), and field |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| ld | dentify each species: | | | |
| | Field observation confirmed the presence of northern harrier, a state-listed thre (4/15/04) indicated that hibernacula for Indiana bat (state and federally-listed e project site. Correspondence with the NYSNHP (3/9/04) indicated the presence | endangered spe | cies) occur w | ithin 75 miles of the |
| 2. Ā | are there any unique or unusual land forms on the project site? (i.e., cliffs, du | unes, other ged | ological forma | ntions? |
| | ■ Yes No | | | |
| D | Describe: | | | |
| 1 | Sandstone pavement barrens. | | | |
| | | | | |
| ـ 3. Is | s the project site presently used by the community or neighborhood as an op- | en space or re | creation area | ? |
| | ■ Yes No | | | |
| | | æ | | |
| Г | yes, explain: | | | |
| 1 | Four streams in the area, including the English River and Marble River, are clause by local fishermen. Although entirely private land, the area is also used fo | assified as frout or hunting and s | streams by the nowmobiling | the NYSDEC and receive by local residents. |
| ت 4. D | Does the present site include scenic views known to be important to the com | 2 2 | | |
| Г | the present site include seeme views known to be important to the com | munity? | Yes | No |
| [| Military Trail, a designated scenic byway, transects the project area. A designated scena approximately 0.5 mile south of the project area. Views to the St. Lawrence Valley and | nic overlook is lo | cated in the Ac | lirondack Park, |
| Ŀ | Military Trail, a designated scenic byway, transects the project area. A designated scen | nic overlook is lo | cated in the Ac | lirondack Park, |
| 5. S | Military Trail, a designated scenic byway, transects the project area. A designated scenapproximately 0.5 mile south of the project area. Views to the St. Lawrence Valley and | nic overlook is lo d Montreal are av | cated in the Ac | dirondack Park, ome elevated locations. |
| 5. S | Military Trail, a designated scenic byway, transects the project area. A designated scenapproximately 0.5 mile south of the project area. Views to the St. Lawrence Valley and streams within or contiguous to project area: Numerous small headwater streams occur within the project area. Named streamsook, Hinchinbrook Brook, Dry Brook, and Marble River. | nic overlook is lo d Montreal are av | cated in the Ac | dirondack Park, ome elevated locations. |
| 5. S | Military Trail, a designated scenic byway, transects the project area. A designated scenapproximately 0.5 mile south of the project area. Views to the St. Lawrence Valley and Streams within or contiguous to project area: Numerous small headwater streams occur within the project area. Named streamsock, Hinchinbrook Brook, Dry Brook, and Marble River. | ains to the east | cated in the Acvailable from so | dirondack Park, ome elevated locations. er, Brandy Brook, Care |
| a a | Military Trail, a designated scenic byway, transects the project area. A designated scenapproximately 0.5 mile south of the project area. Views to the St. Lawrence Valley and Streams within or contiguous to project area: Numerous small headwater streams occur within the project area. Named streamsook, Hinchinbrook Brook, Dry Brook, and Marble River. Name of Stream and name of River to which it is tributary The project area is in the St. Lawrence River drainage basin. English River dra Brook, which are tributary to the Great Chazy River. Dry Brook, Marble River | ains to the east | cated in the Acvailable from so | dirondack Park, ome elevated locations. er, Brandy Brook, Care |
| a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a | Military Trail, a designated scenic byway, transects the project area. A designated scenapproximately 0.5 mile south of the project area. Views to the St. Lawrence Valley and Streams within or contiguous to project area: Numerous small headwater streams occur within the project area. Named streamsook, Hinchinbrook Brook, Dry Brook, and Marble River. Name of Stream and name of River to which it is tributary The project area is in the St. Lawrence River drainage basin. English River dra Brook, which are tributary to the Great Chazy River. Dry Brook, Marble River Chateaugay River to the west. | ams include the | cated in the Acvailable from some English Riversity along with Borook Brook a | dirondack Park, ome elevated locations. er, Brandy Brook, Care randy Brook and Carevare tributaries to the |
| a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a | Military Trail, a designated scenic byway, transects the project area. A designated scenapproximately 0.5 mile south of the project area. Views to the St. Lawrence Valley and Streams within or contiguous to project area: Numerous small headwater streams occur within the project area. Named streamsook, Hinchinbrook Brook, Dry Brook, and Marble River. Name of Stream and name of River to which it is tributary The project area is in the St. Lawrence River drainage basin. English River dra Brook, which are tributary to the Great Chazy River. Dry Brook, Marble River Chateaugay River to the west. Lakes, ponds, wetland areas within or contiguous to project area: One unnamed pond, 26 NYSDEC wetlands and numerous National Wetland In | ams include the | cated in the Acvailable from some English Riversity along with Borook Brook a | dirondack Park, ome elevated locations. er, Brandy Brook, Care randy Brook and Carevare tributaries to the |
| a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a | Military Trail, a designated scenic byway, transects the project area. A designated scenapproximately 0.5 mile south of the project area. Views to the St. Lawrence Valley and Streams within or contiguous to project area: Numerous small headwater streams occur within the project area. Named streams occur, Hinchinbrook Brook, Dry Brook, and Marble River. Name of Stream and name of River to which it is tributary The project area is in the St. Lawrence River drainage basin. English River dra Brook, which are tributary to the Great Chazy River. Dry Brook, Marble River Chateaugay River to the west. Lakes, ponds, wetland areas within or contiguous to project area: One unnamed pond, 26 NYSDEC wetlands and numerous National Wetland In area has been mapped by NWI) | ams include the | cated in the Acvailable from some English Riversity along with Borook Brook a | dirondack Park, ome elevated locations. er, Brandy Brook, Care randy Brook and Carevare tributaries to the |

| 17. | Is the site served by existing public utilities? Yes No |
|-----|--|
| | a. If YES, does sufficient capacity exist to allow connection? |
| | b. If YES, will improvements be necessary to allow connection? |
| | Is the site located in an agricultural district certified pursuant to Agriculture and Markets Law, Article 25-AA, Section 303 and 304? No |
| | Is the site located in or substantially contiguous to a Critical Environmental Area designated pursuant to Article 8 of the ECL, and 6 NYCRR 617? Yes No |
| | |
| 20. | Has the site ever been used for the disposal of solid or hazardous wastes? Yes No |
| В. | Project Description |
| 1. | Physical dimensions and scale of project (fill in dimensions as appropriate). |
| | a. Total contiguous acreage owned or controlled by project sponsor:11,500 acres. |
| | b. Project acreage to be developed:80 acres initially;80 acres ultimately. |
| | c. Project acreage to remain undeveloped: 11,420 acres. |
| | d. Length of project, in miles: N/A (if appropriate) |
| | e. If the project is an expansion, indicate percent of expansion proposed. N/A % |
| | f. Number of off-street parking spaces existing 0; proposed 15 |
| | g. Maximum vehicular trips generated per hour: 5-10 (upon completion of project)? |
| | h. If residential: Number and type of housing units: |
| | One Family Two Family Multiple Family Condominium |
| | Initially N/A |
| | Ultimately N/A |
| | i. Dimensions (in feet) of largest proposed structure: 492 height; 295 width; N/A length. |
| | j. Linear feet of frontage along a public thoroughfare project will occupy is? N/A ft. |
| 2. | How much natural material (i.e. rock, earth, etc.) will be removed from the site? TBD tons/cubic yards. |
| | |
| 3. | Will distallabed drops so recipiline |
| | a. If yes, for what intended purpose is the site being reclaimed? Agriculture, wildlife habitat |
| | Agriculture, whome habitat |
| | |
| | b. Will topsoil be stockpiled for reclamation? |
| | c. Will upper subsoil be stockpiled for reclamation? |

| 5. | ill any mature forest (over 100 years old) or other locally-important vegetation be removed by this project? | |
|----|--|----|
| | Yes No | |
| 6. | single phase project: Anticipated period of construction: 12 months, (including demolition) | |
| 7. | multi-phased: | |
| | Total number of phases anticipated <u>N/A</u> (number) | |
| | Anticipated date of commencement phase 1: month year, (including demolition) | |
| | Approximate completion date of final phase: month year. | |
| | Is phase 1 functionally dependent on subsequent phases? | |
| 8. | ill blasting occur during construction? Yes No | |
| 9. | umber of jobs generated: during construction 250; after project is complete 5-10 | |
| 10 | umber of jobs eliminated by this project 0 | |
| 11 | ill project require relocation of any projects or facilities? Yes | |
| | yes, explain: | |
| 12 | surface liquid waste disposal involved? Yes No | |
| | If yes, indicate type of waste (sewage, industrial, etc) and amount N/A | |
| | Name of water body into which effluent will be discharged N/A | |
| 13 | subsurface liquid waste disposal involved? Yes No Type O&M building sentic system | |
| 14 | ill surface area of an existing water body increase or decrease by proposal? Yes No | 25 |
| | yes, explain: | |
| | Minor impacts to wetlands (filling) are anticipated, but these will be offset by Project Mitigation. | |
| 15 | project or any portion of project located in a 100 year flood plain? Yes | |
| 16 | 'ill the project generate solid waste? | |
| | If yes, what is the amount per month?<1_ tons | |
| | If yes, will an existing solid waste facility be used? Yes No | |
| | If yes, give name Regional landfill ; location | |
| | Will any wastes not go into a sewage disposal system or into a sanitary landfill? Yes No | |

| Will the project involve the disposal of solid waste? | 9 |
|--|----------|
| a. If yes, what is the anticipated rate of disposal? <u>N/A</u> tons/month. | |
| o. If yes, what is the anticipated site life? <u>N/A</u> years. | |
| Will project use herbicides or pesticides? Yes No | |
| Will project routinely produce odors (more than one hour per day)? Yes No | 6 |
| | П |
| Will project produce operating noise exceeding the local ambient noise levels? | S NO |
| Will project result in an increase in energy use? Yes I No | |
| If yes, Indicate type(s) | |
| X | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| If water supply is from wells, indicate pumping capacity <u>N/A</u> gallons/minute. | |
| Total anticipated water usage per day <u>N/A</u> gallons/day. | |
| | |
| | |
| yes, explain: | |
| ject may use Federal Production Tax Credits and may sell Environmental Attributes to N | IYSERDA. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| City, Town, Village Board Ves No City, Town, Village Board Ves No City, Town, Village Planning Board Ves No City, Town Zoning Board Ves No City, Town Zoning Board Ves No City, County Health Department No City, County Healt | 5. | Approvals Required: | | | | |
|--|----|-------------------------------------|----------------|-------------|--|--------------------|
| City, County Health Department | | City, Town, Village Board | Yes | No No | Permit & SEQR Ellenburg - Overlay Zone/Special Use Permit | Submittal Date |
| City, County Health Department | | City, Town, Village Planning Board | Yes | ■ No | | |
| Other Local Agencies Yes No Clinton Count and Local highway work permits Other Regional Agencies Yes No Clinton County IDA State Agencies Yes No PSC-PSL Sec. 68, NYSDEC-SPDES NYSDEC-Article 24, Ag. & Mkts NOI NYSDOT-Road Permits USACOE-Section 404 FAA Approval Zoning and Planning Information Does proposed action involve a planning or zoning decision? Yes No (Ellenburg Only) If Yes, Indicate decision required: Zoning amendment Zoning variance New/revision of master plan St | | City, Town Zoning Board | Yes | ■ No | | |
| Other Local Agencies Yes | | City, County Health Department | Yes | ■ No | | |
| State Agencies Yes No PSC-PSL Sec. 68, NYSDEC-SPDES NYSDEC-Article 24, Ag. & Mkts NOI NYSDOT-Road Permits USACOE-Section 404 FAA Approval Zoning and Planning Information Does proposed action involve a planning or zoning decision? Zoning amendment Zoning variance New/revision of master plan | | | Yes | No | | , |
| State Agencies Pyes No NYSDEC-Article 24, Ag. & Mkts NOI NYSDOT-Road Permits USACOE-Section 404 FAA Approval Zoning and Planning Information Does proposed action involve a planning or zoning decision? Yes No (Ellenburg Only) If Yes, indicate decision required: Zoning amendment Zoning variance New/revision of master plan | | Other Regional Agencies | Yes | ☐ No | Clinton County IDA | |
| Federal Agencies Yes No USACOE-Section 404 FAA Approval Zoning and Planning Information Does proposed action involve a planning or zoning decision? Yes No (Ellenburg Only) If Yes, indicate decision required: Zoning amendment Zoning variance New/revision of master plan | | State Agencies | Yes | No | NYSDEC-Article 24, Ag. & Mkts NOI | |
| Does proposed action involve a planning or zoning decision? Yes No (Ellenburg Only) If Yes, indicate decision required: Zoning amendment Zoning variance New/revision of master plan | | Federal Agencies | Yes | No | USACOE-Section 404 | |
| Zoning amendment Zoning variance New/revision of master plan So |). | Does proposed action involve a plan | ning or zoning | g decision? | S No (Ellenburg Only) | |
| Site plan Special use dermit Resource management dan III () | | Zoning amendment | _ | | New/revision of master plan | Subdivision Other |

| ral Use (RU) and Rural Arterial (RA) in Town of Ellenburg. No zoning in Clinton at is the maximum potential development of the site if developed as permitted by the | nresent z | ·* | #S |
|---|---------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | e present z | | |
| | PI COCITE Z | oning? | |
| sidential development | | | |
| at is the proposed zoning of the site? | | | |
| me, but with addition of Wind Overlay Zone in Town of Ellenburg | A. | | |
| at is the maximum potential development of the site if developed as permitted by the | e proposed | zoning? | • |
| me, but with addition of utility-scale Wind Energy Conversion Systems (WECS). | | | , |
| ne proposed action consistent with the recommended uses in adopted local land use | plans? | Yes | No |
| nd power development has proven compatible with rural land uses at the existing Madison and Fenner project compatible with agriculture and has been endorsed by the New York Farm Bureau. By supplementing farmers we agricultural use and discourage conversion to residential subdivisions and other non-agricultural uses. | ts in Madison s' income, wir | County. Wind power project | power developm s help keep land |
| at are the predominant land use(s) and zoning classifications within a $1/4$ mile radius (| of propose | ed action? | |
| eminant land uses include forest management (timber harvest), active agriculture and ru | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| 10. | Will proposed action require any authorization(s) for the formation of sewer or water districts? Yes No |
|-----|--|
| | N/A |
| | |
| 11. | Will the proposed action create a demand for any community provided services (recreation, education, police, fire protection? Yes No |
| | a. If yes, is existing capacity sufficient to handle projected demand? |
| | A fire protection and emergency response plan will be developed in coordination with local fire departments and emergency service providers. |
| 12. | Will the proposed action result in the generation of traffic significantly above present levels? a. If yes, is the existing road network adequate to handle the additional traffic. Yes No |
| | During construction, additional and oversized vehicles will be present. Improvements to certain roads, intersections and bridges are likely to be required. |
| D. | Informational Details |
| ass | Attach any additional information as may be needed to clarify your project. If there are or may be any adverse impacts ociated with your proposal, please discuss such impacts and the measures which you propose to mitigate or avoid them. |
| E. | Verification |
| | I certify that the information provided above is true to the best of my knowledge. |
| | Applicant/Sponsor Name Marble River, LLC Date 7/30/2010 |
| | Signature All formations of the second of th |
| | Title PROTOCT MANAGOR |
| | ne action is in the Coastal Area, and you are a state agency, complete the Coastal Assessment Form before proceeding with this essment. |

Page 10 of 21

PART 2 - PROJECT IMPACTS AND THEIR MAGNITUDE

Responsibility of Lead Agency

| | | Responsibility of Lead P | agency | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| ! In compression ! The Examagnitum ost sire Potential! ! The impoffered! ! The number offered! ! In ident Instructions (Real Answer b. Maybe c. If answer b. Maybe d. Identify large in be look | able? The reviewer is not eamples provided are to assude that would trigger a restuations. But, for any special Large Impact response, pacts of each project, on eas guidance. They do not as guidance. They do not as guidance, consider lor each of examples per questifying impacts, consider lor each of the 20 questions in answers should be considering Yes to a question the threshold equals or exceede, check column 1. | n check the appropriate box(colusts any example provided, check otentially large (column 2) does PART 3 to determine significant | ion: Have my respondental analyst. The soft impacts and when so are generally apply and analyst of the soft impacts and threshold the soft and threshold the soft and threshold the soft and the soft impact. In 1 or 2) to indicate column 2. If impact and the soft imp | nerever possible blicable through holds may be ap mples are illustreds to answer eation. The the potential swill occur but the so necessarily apact in column | e the threshold of out the State and for out the State and for oppropriate for a rative and have been ach question. ize of the impact. If preshold is lower than a significant. Any 2 simply asks that in |
| f. If a pote impact, | entially large impact checke | the impact then consider the imed in column 2 can be mitigated column 3. A No response indicated | by change(s) in the p | project to a sma | II to moderate |
| | | | 1 Small to Moderate Impact | 2 Potential Large Impact | 3 Can Impact Be Mitigated by Project Change |
| | Impact on Lar | nd | | | |
| 1. Will the Proposite? | osed Action result in a phys | ical change to the project | | | |
| NO | YES | | | | |
| Examp X | | es of 15% or greater, (15 foot n), or where the general slopes | | | Yes No |
| X | Construction on land whe | ere the depth to the water table | | | Yes No |
| X | Construction of paved pavehicles. | rking area for 1,000 or more | | | Yes No |
| X | Construction on land who generally within 3 feet of | ere bedrock is exposed or existing ground surface. | | | Yes No |

Construction that will continue for more than 1 year or

Excavation for mining purposes that would remove

more than 1,000 tons of natural material (i.e., rock or

involve more than one phase or stage.

soil) per year.

X

X

Yes No

Yes No

| | | | 1 Small to Moderate Impact | 2 Potential Large Impact | 3 Can Impact Be Mitigated by Project Change |
|----|----------|---|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| | X | Construction or expansion of a santary landfill. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Construction in a designated floodway. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Other impacts: | | | Yes No |
| | | 3 | | | |
| 2. | | I there be an effect to any unique or unusual land forms found on site? (i.e., cliffs, dunes, geological formations, etc.) NO YES | | | |
| | X | Specific land forms: | | | Yes No |
| 3 | | | | 5 | |
| | | Impact on Water | | | |
| 3. | | Proposed Action affect any water body designated as protected? Inder Articles 15, 24, 25 of the Environmental Conservation Law, IL) INO YES | | | 9 |
| | Exa X | amples that would apply to column 2 Developable area of site contains a protected water body. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from channel of a protected stream. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Extension of utility distribution facilities through a protected water body. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Construction in a designated freshwater or tidal wetland. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Other impacts: | | | Yes No |
| | | | | | |
| 4. | | Il Proposed Action affect any non-protected existing or new body of iter? NO YES | | 14 | |
| | | A 10% increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Construction of a body of water that exceeds 10 acres of surface area. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Other impacts: | | | Yes No |
| | | | | | |

| | | | 1 Small to Moderate Impact | 2 Potential Large Impact | 3 Can Impact Be Mitigated by Project Change |
|----|----------|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| 5. | | I Proposed Action affect surface or groundwater quality or antity? NO YES | | | |
| | Exa X | amples that would apply to column 2 Proposed Action will require a discharge permit. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Proposed Action requires use of a source of water that does not have approval to serve proposed (project) action. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Proposed Action requires water supply from wells with greater than 45 gallons per minute pumping capacity. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Construction or operation causing any contamination of a water supply system. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Proposed Action will adversely affect groundwater. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Liquid effluent will be conveyed off the site to facilities which presently do not exist or have inadequate capacity. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Proposed Action would use water in excess of 20,000 gallons per day. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Proposed Action will likely cause siltation or other discharge into an existing body of water to the extent that there will be an obvious visual contrast to natural conditions. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Proposed Action will require the storage of petroleum or chemical products greater than 1,100 gallons. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Proposed Action will allow residential uses in areas without water and/or sewer services. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Proposed Action locates commercial and/or industrial uses which may require new or expansion of existing waste treatment and/or storage facilities. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Other impacts: | | | Yes No |
| | | | ¥ | _ | |

| | | | Small to Moderate Impact | Potential Large Impact | Can Impact Be Mitigated by Project Change |
|----|----------|---|--------------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| 6. | | I Proposed Action alter drainage flow or patterns, or surface water off? NO YES | | 1 0 | |
| | Exa X | amples that would apply to column 2 Proposed Action would change flood water flows | | | Yes No |
| | X | Proposed Action may cause substantial erosion. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Proposed Action is incompatible with existing drainage patterns. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Proposed Action will allow development in a designated floodway. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Other impacts: | | | Yes No |
| | | | | | 8 |
| | | IMPACT ON AIR | | | |
| 7. | Wil | Proposed Action affect air quality? NO YES | | | |
| | Ex: | amples that would apply to column 2 Proposed Action will induce 1,000 or more vehicle trips in any given hour. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Proposed Action will result in the incineration of more than 1 ton of refuse per hour. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Emission rate of total contaminants will exceed 5 lbs. per hour or a heat source producing more than 10 million BTU's per hour. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Proposed Action will allow an increase in the amount of land committed to industrial use. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Proposed Action will allow an increase in the density of industrial development within existing industrial areas. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Other impacts: | | | Yes No |
| | | | * | | |
| | | IMPACT ON PLANTS AND ANIMALS | | | |
| 8. | Wi | Il Proposed Action affect any threatened or endangered species? NO YES | | | |
| | Ex X | Ramples that would apply to column 2 Reduction of one or more species listed on the New York or Federal list, using the site, over or near the site, or found on the site. | | | Yes No |

| | | | 1 Small to Moderate Impact | 2 Potential Large Impact | 3 Can Impact Be Mitigated by Project Change |
|-----|----------|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| | X | Removal of any portion of a critical or significant wildlife habitat. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Application of pesticide or herbicide more than twice a year, other than for agricultural purposes. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Other impacts: | | | Yes No |
| | | | | | |
| 9. | | Proposed Action substantially affect non-threatened or non- langered species? NO YES | | | |
| | Exa X | amples that would apply to column 2 Proposed Action would substantially interfere with any resident or migratory fish, shellfish or wildlife species. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Proposed Action requires the removal of more than 10 acres of mature forest (over 100 years of age) or other locally important vegetation. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Other impacts: | | | Yes No |
| | | | 9 | | |
| 10. | Wil | IMPACT ON AGRICULTURAL LAND RESOURCES Proposed Action affect agricultural land resources? NO YES | | | |
| | Exa X | The Proposed Action would sever, cross or limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc.) | | | Yes No |
| | X | Construction activity would excavate or compact the soil profile of agricultural land. | | | Yes No |
| | X | The Proposed Action would irreversibly convert more than 10 acres of agricultural land or, if located in an Agricultural District, more than 2.5 acres of agricultural land. | | | Yes No |

| | | | Small to Moderate Impact | Potential Large Impact | Can Impact Be Mitigated by Project Change |
|-----|----------|---|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| | X | The Proposed Action would disrupt or prevent installation of agricultural land management systems (e.g., subsurface drain lines, outlet ditches, strip cropping); or create a need for such measures (e.g. cause a farm field to drain poorly due to increased runoff). | | | Yes No |
| | X | Other impacts: | | | Yes No |
| | | | | | |
| | | IMPACT ON AESTHETIC RESOURCES | | ." | |
| | | Proposed Action affect aesthetic resources? (If necessary, use Visual EAF Addendum in Section 617.20, Appendix B.) NO YES | | | • |
| | Exa X | Proposed land uses, or project components obviously different from or in sharp contrast to current surrounding land use patterns, whether man-made or natural. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Proposed land uses, or project components visible to users of aesthetic resources which will eliminate or significantly reduce their enjoyment of the aesthetic qualities of that resource. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Project components that will result in the elimination or significant screening of scenic views known to be important to the area. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Other impacts: | | | Yes No |
| | | | e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e | | |
| | ı | MPACT ON HISTORIC AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES | | | |
| 12. | | Il Proposed Action impact any site or structure of historic, chistoric or paleontological importance? NO YES | | | |
| | Ex X | amples that would apply to column 2 Proposed Action occurring wholly or partially within or substantially contiguous to any facility or site listed on the State or National Register of historic places. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Any impact to an archaeological site or fossil bed located within the project site. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Proposed Action will occur in an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NYS Site Inventory. | | | Yes No |

| Moderate large Mit Impact Impact Impact Projet X Other impacts: | 3 |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| IMPACT ON OPEN SPACE AND RECREATION 13. Will proposed Action affect the quantity or quality of existing or future open spaces or recreational opportunities? NO | mpact Be gated by ct Change |
| 3. Will proposed Action affect the quantity or quality of existing or future open spaces or recreational opportunities? NO YES NO YES | s No |
| 3. Will proposed Action affect the quantity or quality of existing or future open spaces or recreational opportunities? NO YES Examples that would apply to column 2 X The permanent foreclosure of a future recreational opportunity. Y A major reduction of an open space important to the community. Y Other impacts: IMPACT ON CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL AREAS 4. Will Proposed Action impact the exceptional or unique characteristics of a critical environmental area (CEA) established pursuant to subdivision 6NYCRR 617.14(g)? NO YES List the environmental characteristics that caused the designation of the CEA. Examples that would apply to column 2 X Proposed Action to locate within the CEA? X Proposed Action will result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource? | |
| open spaces or recreational opportunities? NO | |
| X The permanent foreclosure of a future recreational opportunity. X A major reduction of an open space important to the community. Y Other impacts: Will Proposed Action impact the exceptional or unique characteristics of a critical environmental area (CEA) established pursuant to subdivision 6NYCRR 617.14(g)? NO | |
| IMPACT ON CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL AREAS 4. Will Proposed Action impact the exceptional or unique characteristics of a critical environmental area (CEA) established pursuant to subdivision 6NYCRR 617.14(g)? NO YES List the environmental characteristics that caused the designation of the CEA. Examples that would apply to column 2 X Proposed Action to locate within the CEA? X Proposed Action will result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource? | s No |
| IMPACT ON CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL AREAS 4. Will Proposed Action impact the exceptional or unique characteristics of a critical environmental area (CEA) established pursuant to subdivision 6NYCRR 617.14(g)? NO YES List the environmental characteristics that caused the designation of the CEA. Examples that would apply to column 2 X Proposed Action to locate within the CEA? X Proposed Action will result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource? | s No |
| 4. Will Proposed Action impact the exceptional or unique characteristics of a critical environmental area (CEA) established pursuant to subdivision 6NYCRR 617.14(g)? NO YES List the environmental characteristics that caused the designation of the CEA. Examples that would apply to column 2 X Proposed Action to locate within the CEA? X Proposed Action will result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource? | s No |
| Examples that would apply to column 2 X. Proposed Action will result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource? | |
| Examples that would apply to column 2 X Proposed Action will result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource? | |
| X Proposed Action to locate within the CEA? X Proposed Action will result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource? | |
| X Proposed Action to locate within the CEA? X Proposed Action will result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource? | |
| X Proposed Action will result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource? | |
| resource? | es No |
| X Proposed Action will result in a reduction in the quality of the | es N |
| resource? | es N |
| X Proposed Action will impact the use, function or enjoyment of the resource? | es N |
| X Other impacts: | es No |
| | |

| | | | 1 Small to Moderate Impact | 2 Potential Large Impact | 3 Can Impact Be Mitigated by Project Change |
|-----|----------|---|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| | | IMPACT ON TRANSPORTATION | | | |
| 15. | Will | there be an effect to existing transportation systems? NO YES | | | |
| | Exa X | mples that would apply to column 2 Alteration of present patterns of movement of people and/or goods. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Proposed Action will result in major traffic problems. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Other impacts: | Ш | | Yes No |
| | | | | | . 5 |
| | | IMPACT ON ENERGY | | | |
| 16. | | Proposed Action affect the community's sources of fuel or rgy supply? | | æ | |
| | | NO YES | | | |
| | Exa X | Imples that would apply to column 2 Proposed Action will cause a greater than 5% increase in the use of any form of energy in the municipality. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Proposed Action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two family residences or to serve a major commercial or industrial use. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Other impacts: | П | | Yes No |
| | Lu | Curior Impuesto. | | | |
| | | NOISE AND ODOR IMPACT | | | |
| 17. | | there be objectionable odors, noise, or vibration as a result of Proposed Action? | | × | |
| | | NO YES | | | |
| | Exa X | amples that would apply to column 2 Blasting within 1,500 feet of a hospital, school or other sensitive facility. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Odors will occur routinely (more than one hour per day). | | | Yes No |
| | X | Proposed Action will produce operating noise exceeding the local ambient noise levels for noise outside of structures. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Proposed Action will remove natural barriers that would act as a noise screen. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Other impacts: | | | Yes No |
| | | | | | |

| | | | Small to Moderate Impact | 2 Potential Large Impact | 3 Can Impact Be Mitigated by Project Change |
|-------|----------|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| | | IMPACT ON PUBLIC HEALTH | | | |
| 18. V | /Vill | Proposed Action affect public health and safety? NO YES | _ | | |
| 3 | | Proposed Action may cause a risk of explosion or release of hazardous substances (i.e. oil, pesticides, chemicals, radiation, etc.) in the event of accident or upset conditions, or there may be a chronic low level discharge or emission. | | | Yes No |
| | | Proposed Action may result in the burial of "hazardous wastes" in any form (i.e. toxic, poisonous, highly reactive, radioactive, irritating, infectious, etc.) | | | Yes No |
| | | Storage facilities for one million or more gallons of liquefied natural gas or other flammable liquids. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Proposed Action may result in the excavation or other disturbance within 2,000 feet of a site used for the disposal of | | | Yes No |
| | X | olid or hazardous waste. Other impacts: | | | Yes No |
| | | Statistics with a second secon | | | |
| | | IMPACT ON GROWTH AND CHARACTER OF COMMUNITY OR NEIGHBORHOOD | | | |
| 19. | Will | Proposed Action affect the character of the existing community? | | | |
| | Exa X | amples that would apply to column 2 The permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located is likely to grow by more than 5%. | | | Yes No |
| | X | The municipal budget for capital expenditures or operating services will increase by more than 5% per year as a result of this project. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Proposed Action will conflict with officially adopted plans or goals. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Proposed Action will cause a change in the density of land use. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Proposed Action will replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures or areas of historic importance to the community. | | | Yes No |
| | X | Development will create a demand for additional community | | | Yes No |

| | | 1 Small to Moderate Impact | 2 Potential Large Impact | 3 Can Impact Be Mitigated by Project Change |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| X | Proposed Action will set an important precedent for future projects. | | | Yes No |
| X | Proposed Action will create or eliminate employment. | | | Yes No |
| Х | Other impacts: | | | Yes No |
| | | | | |
| | there, or is there likely to be, public controversy related to potential verse environment impacts? | 19 | | |

If Any Action in Part 2 Is Identified as a Potential Large Impact or If you Cannot Determine the Magnitude of Impact, Proceed to Part 3

Part 3 - EVALUATION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF IMPACTS

Responsibility of Lead Agency

Part 3 must be prepared if one or more impact(s) is considered to be potentially large, even if the impact(s) may be mitigated.

Instructions (If you need more space, attach additional sheets)

Discuss the following for each impact identified in Column 2 of Part 2:

- 1. Briefly describe the impact.
- Describe (if applicable) how the impact could be mitigated or reduced to a small to moderate impact by project change(s).
- 3. Based on the information available, decide if it is reasonable to conclude that this impact is important.

To answer the question of importance, consider:

- ! The probability of the impact occurring
- ! The duration of the impact
- ! Its irreversibility, including permanently lost resources of value
- ! Whether the impact can or will be controlled
- ! The regional consequence of the impact
- ! Its potential divergence from local needs and goals
- ! Whether known objections to the project relate to this impact.

| | | s | |
|--------|--|---|--|
| | | | |
| g G | | | |